



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 12.11.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

and

W.M.P.(MD) Nos.894 & 895 of 2020

Radhakrishnan

... Petitioner

-VS-

- 1.The State of Tamilnadu
rep.by the District Collector
Madurai District, Madurai
- 2.The District Employment Officer
Employment Exchange
Office of Madurai District
K.Pudur, Madurai-625 002
- 3.The Special Officer-cum-
Block Development Officer
Vadipatti Panchayat Union
Vadipatti Taluk, Vadipatti
Madurai District
- 4.The Chairman
Vadipatti Panchayat Union
Vadipatti, Madurai District



W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

WEB COPY

5.The President
Thiruvallavayanallur Village
(T.V.Nallur) Village Panchayat
Vadipatti Taluk
Madurai District

6.Velan

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the appointment of the 6th respondent as Panchayat Secretary in 5th respondent Panchayat as per the notification in Na.Ka.No.432/T2/2018 dated 27.03.2018 as per the impugned proceedings in Na.Ka.No.1617/2018/T2 dated 07.12.2019 and to quash the same as illegal and further direct the respondents 1, 3 to 5 to appoint the petitioner as Panchayat Secretary in 5th respondent Panchayat.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.M.Vishnuvarthanan

For Respondents : Mr.A.Baskaran
Additional Government Pleader for R1 to R3
Mr.P.T.Ramesh Raja for R6



WEB COPY

W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated 07.12.2019, issued by the respondent – Panchayat Union.

2. The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of the sixth respondent as Panchayat Secretary in the fifth respondent – Panchayat.

3. Even though the grievance of the petitioner is only against the sixth respondent, the impugned order dated 07.12.2019 does not refer to the sixth respondent. The impugned order only gives the details of the number of applicants, number of participants and number of absentees in the selection process. Only pursuant to the aforementioned selection process, the sixth respondent was appointed as Panchayat Secretary. The appointment order issued in favour of the sixth respondent has not been challenged. The petitioner has challenged the mere communication addressed to a person, by name, D.Sethu, dated 07.12.2019, who is not a party to this writ petition. When the petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of the sixth respondent as Panchayat Secretary, he ought to have challenged his appointment order.



W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

WEB COPY

The petitioner is also not having the benefit of any interim order from this Court even though this writ petition was filed in the year 2020.

4. For the foregoing reasons, this Court does not find any merit in this writ petition. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

12.11.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No

krk

To:

- 1.The District Collector,
State of Tamilnadu,
Madurai District, Madurai.
- 2.The District Employment Officer,
Employment Exchange,
Office of Madurai District,
K.Pudur, Madurai-625 002.
- 3.The Special Officer-cum-
Block Development Officer,
Vadipatti Panchayat Union,
Vadipatti Taluk, Vadipatti,
Madurai District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020

ABDUL QUDDHOSE, J.

krk

W.P.(MD) No.1118 of 2020
and
W.M.P.(MD) Nos.894 & 895 of 2020

12.11.2025

Page 5 of 5