



WP(MD). No.921 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED : 10.01.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE Dr.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE

**WP(MD). No.921 of 2025 and
WMP(MD) Nos.584 and 587 of 2025**

K. Moorthi

... Petitioner

Vs

**1. The District Collector,
Dindigul District..**

**2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul..**

**3. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..**

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Writ Petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this court to issue a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records pertaining to the order passed by the 3rd respondent in his proceedings dated 31.10.2024 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Panneerselvam
For Respondent : Mr.S.R.A.Ramachandran
Addl. Government Pleader



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.921 of 2025

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH, J.)

By consent of both sides, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself.

2.Challenging the impugned notice of the third respondent dated 31.10.2024, the petitioner is before this Court.

3.After issuance of a notice under Section 7 of 'the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905' (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') dated 09.09.2024, calling for the petitioner's objections, proposed action of removing of the encroachment, an order under Section 6 of the Act dated 31.10.2024 has been issued.

4.As against an order of eviction passed under Section 6 of the Act, an appeal remedy is provided for under Section 10 of the Act to the District Collector.



WP(MD). No.921 of 2025

WEB COPY

5.What is put under challenge in the present writ petition is only a consequential notice, which is not an action provided under the Act for evicting the petitioner from the subject lands. The petitioner has chosen to challenge the final orders passed under Section 6 of the Act. Even otherwise, when the Act provides for an effective appeal remedy before the District Collector against order under Section 6, it would not be appropriate to entertain the present writ petition, which challenges the Section 6 notice. Hence, we are of the view that an opportunity can be given to the petitioner to avail the statutory remedy and protect his possession till such time.

6.In the light of the above observation, the petitioner is granted liberty to file an appeal before the first respondent District Collector under Section 10 of the Act within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Till such time, the respondents shall not take any coercive action to disturb the petitioner's possession over the subject land.



WP(MD). No.921 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

[M.S.R.,J]

[A.D.M.C.,J]

10.01.2025

NCC : Yes/No

Index : Yes/No

RR

To

1. The District Collector,
Dindigul District..

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Dindigul..

3. The Tahsildar,
Dindigul West Taluk,
Dindigul District..



WEB COPY



WP(MD). No.921 of 2025

M.S. RAMESH, J.
AND
A.D.MARIA CLETE, J.

RR

ORDER
IN
WP(MD) No.921 of 2025

Date : 10.01.2025