

Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

Reserved on : 20.01.2025

Pronounced on : 21.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VADAMALAI

Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

Bijin @ Bijin S Sam

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of Police,
Arumanai Police Station,
Kanyakumari District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: This Criminal Revision Case has been filed under Sections 438 r/w 442 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to the order passed in Crl.M.P.No. 9518 of 2024 passed by the Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai, dated 13.12.2024.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Balakrishnan

For Respondent : Mr.RM.Anbunithi
Additional Public Prosecutor



ORDER

WEB COPY

This Criminal Revision Case is filed against the order, dated 13.12.2024 passed in Crl.M.P.No.9518 of 2024 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kuzhithurai, dismissing the petition filed by the petitioner U/s.497 of BNSS for return of Ashok Leyland Dost bearing registration No.KL-19-P-5329.

2.The brief facts of the case:

On 23.11.2024 at about 08.00 a.m., at Manchalumoodu check post, the respondent police stopped and inspected the vehicle bearing registration number KL-19-P-5329 and found food waste in plastic barrels, which was transported from Kerala without any authenticated permission and so the vehicle with waste food were seized. A case was registered in Crime No.319 of 2024 U/s.272 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023. The petitioner claims to be the owner of the vehicle and approached the Judicial Magistrate Court No.I, Kuzhithurai by filing the petition in Crl.M.P.No.9518 of 2024 for return of the said vehicle and the learned Judicial Magistrate has dismissed the petition vide his order, dated 13.12.2024.

3. Aggrieved by the order of dismissal, the petitioner has come forward with this present criminal revision case.



4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent and perused the records in this Criminal Revision Case.

5. The learned counsel appearing for the revision petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle. He is running a pig farm in the name of Bathel Farms at Kanjikuli in Kanniyakumari District. He used to collect waste food and surplus food from the hotels for the use of feeding to pigs in his specially designed vehicle. No smell was emanated from the vehicle. He has been transporting such waste food for several years and no complaint was received from anybody. The petitioner has no nexus with the alleged occurrence. The seized vehicle is kept idle in open yard by the respondent police from the date of seizure on 23.11.2024. If the vehicle is in open place, due to exposure of sun and rain, the value of the vehicle would get deteriorated and therefore, interim custody of the vehicle may be granted to the petitioner.


6. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner's vehicle was seized for illegal transportation of waste food from Kerala, which will affect the environment as well as the general public due to exposure of such waste and may cause infection and health hazard. The petitioner has not specifically mentioned in the trip sheet for what purpose



they transported the food waste. If the vehicle is granted interim custody, the petitioner would alienate the vehicle and continue to commit the same nature of offence.

7. On hearing both sides, it is clear that the vehicle was seized on 23.11.2024. The vehicle was seized and is kept in open place which was not disputed by the respondent police. The petitioner claims to be the owner of the vehicle, which is also not disputed by the respondent police. Of course, the Hon'ble Supreme Court issued guidelines in the **Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai** case reported in **2003 (1) CTC 175** in respect of return of seized properties.

8. However, the learned Single Judge of this Court in the recent decision in Crl.O.P(MD)Nos.6486, 10056 of 2024, dated 03.02.2025 reported in **2025 (1) LW (Crl.) 239** strictly ordered for confiscation of vehicles used for illegal transportation of solid waste, biomedical waste, food waste, plastic waste, etc., from neighbouring States to the State of Tamil Nadu, to curtail the menace and to instill fear on offenders by discussing various provisions of the Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Act, 1998, Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Rules, 2023, and the Biomedical Waste (Management and Handling) Rules. The learned Judge has specifically made a quotation of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of “**Research Foundation for Science, Technology and Ecology /v/**



Union of India” reported in **(2005) 10 SCC 510**, wherein, the Hon’ble Supreme Court has highlighted the importance of environmentally sound management and directed the Government to ensure the compliance with the Waste Management Rules.

9. It is further observed by the learned Judge that the National Green Tribunal, Southern Zone, Chennai, took suo motu cognizance of the issue relating to unidentified miscreants who had dumped the biomedical waste, food waste, plastics and other wastes from Kerala to Tamil Nadu in its O.A.No.312 of 2024 to take strict action on the offender by order, dated 19.12.2024 and the NGT is continuously monitoring the issue and is passing directions and directed the concerned Government in its order, dated 02.01.2025 that *“it is high time for both the States to take appropriate action in this regard, Kerala – to stop sending waste of any kind to the neighbouring State and Tamil Nadu – to strengthen the border securities by constituting a Special Task Forceif no satisfactory action is taken by the authorities concerned, appropriate directions will be issued, by this tribunal which may not be palatable to the authorities.”* Thereby, the learned Judge of this Court strictly ordered for confiscation of vehicles used for the alleged offence of illegal transportation of solid waste, biomedical waste etc., by implementing the Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Act, 1998 and Tamil Nadu Urban Local Bodies Rules, 2023.



Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

10. In this case, the vehicle has been seized by the respondent police at Manchalumoodu check post on the allegation of illegal transportation of food waste from Kerala. Though the petitioner stated that the alleged food waste were brought for his big farm, the same can be adjudicated only after fullfledged trial. It is not an appropriate stage to decide the same, as the preliminary investigation stage *prima facie* materials are only taken into consideration. The petitioner has not produced any material to show that he has been granted permission to run such pig farm and also to transport solid waste and food waste, etc., from the neighbouring States for the purpose of approved work like pig farm, fish farm, etc., Therefore, considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to allow this revision case.

11. In the result, this Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

21.04.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
VSD



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Kuzhithurai.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Arumanai Police Station,
Kanniyakumari District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

P.VADAMALAI, J.

VSD

Pre - Delivery Order made in
Crl.R.C(MD)No.40 of 2025

21.04.2025