



W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

DATED: 23.01.2025

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.S.RAMESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE DR.JUSTICE A.D.MARIA CLETE**

W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025
and
W.M.P.(MD).No.557 of 2025

Kanthasamy

... Petitioner

Vs.

The Tashildar,
Ettayapuram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.

... Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned eviction notice in ந.க.ஆ.1-9112-2024 dated 04.12.2024 passed by the respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondent not to interfere with the petitioner's peaceful possession in S.No.401/5 situated at Kasavankunru Village, Ettayapuram Taluk, Thoothukudi District.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Prabu

For Respondent : Mr.J.Ravindran

Additional Advocate General

assisted by Mr.J.Ashok

Additional Government Pleader



W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

(Order of the Court was made by M.S.RAMESH,J.)

Final order passed under Section 6 of the Tamil Nadu Land Encroachment Act, 1905 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') is put under challenge in the present Writ Petition.

2. When the Tahsildar was of the view that the petitioner had encroached upon 10 cents out of 1.03.56 hectares, in S.No.401/5, Kasavankunru Village, Ettayapuram Taluk, Thoothukudi District, notice under Section 7 of the Act was issued to the petitioner calling for his objection in this regard. Pursuant to the same, the petitioner herein had submitted a detailed reply on 25.11.2024, putting forth all his objections to the notice under Section 7 of the Act. In spite of the objections given, the Tahsildar has now passed the impugned proceedings dated 04.12.2024 under Section 6 of the Act directing him to evict from the subject property.

3. The very object of Section 7 of the Act is to extend an opportunity to the encroachers to give their objections with regard to the proposed eviction proceedings. It is only after such a notice under Section 7 of the Act is given, the respondent may be empowered to proceed under Section 6. However, while



W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

passing such order, there is a duty cast upon them to consider the explanation rendered by the encroachers to the notice given under Section 7.

4. A perusal of the order passed under Section 6 of the Act, dated 04.12.2024 reveals that, though the respondent seems to have received the explanation given by the petitioner to the notice under Section 7, the same has not been considered. We fail to understand as to how the respondent had come to the conclusion that the petitioner is an encroacher, more particularly, when he has raised objections to the proposed eviction proceedings.

5. In view of the same, the order impugned in the Writ Petition is deemed to be a non-speaking order and hence, cannot be legally sustained. However, we are of the view that the respondent could be granted liberty to consider the petitioner's explanation dated 25.11.2024 and then take further course of action.

6. In the light of the above, the Writ Petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 04.12.2024 is quashed and the matter is remitted back to the respondent herein for fresh consideration. The respondent shall consider the petitioner's explanation dated 25.11.2024 and thereafter, take further course of action in accordance with the provisions of the Act and pass a speaking



W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

order. Such an exercise shall be completed, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(M.S.R.,J.) (A.D.M.C.,J.)
23.01.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
Lm

To
The Tashildar,
Ettayapuram Taluk,
Thoothukudi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

M.S.RAMESH,J.
and
A.D.MARIA CLETE,J.

Lm

W.P.(MD).No.891 of 2025

23.01.2025