



W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 07.02.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

K.Bala Karuppiyah @
Balakrishna Mariyammal

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Srivilliputhur,
Virudhunagar District.

3.Mahalakshmi

... Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2 to conduct an enquiry against the third respondent as per the representation made by the petitioner, dated 23.09.2024 and take necessary action and registered the FIR against third respondent within the time limit prescribed by this Court and pass such further or other orders as this Court.



W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

For Petitioner : Mr.K.C.Ramalingam
For R-1 & R-2 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate
For R-3 : Mr.M.Jothibasu

ORDER

The present petition has been filed for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to conduct an enquiry against the third respondent as per the representation made by the petitioner, dated 23.09.2024 and take necessary action and to register the FIR against third respondent within the time limit prescribed by this Court.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the official respondents would submit that already the representation of the petitioner was considered and the same was closed. During the enquiry proceedings, the petitioner failed to produce any documents to substantiate her contention and also she refused to give statement.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the private respondent also agreed the same and after enquiry, the complaint was closed. However, in reply, the



W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that no enquiry was conducted and no summon was served to her. Without her knowledge, the enquiry was conducted and closed.

4. Since already the representation of the petitioner was considered and the same was closed, it is for the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy before appropriate forum in the manner known to law.

5. With the above said observations, this writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

07.02.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
BTR

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Virudhunagar District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Town Police Station,
Srivilliputhur,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

BTR

W.P(MD)No.1117 of 2025

07.02.2025