



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 04.09.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.613 of 2024

Shanmugaraj

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.State,
rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.416 of 2023)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Srimugundhan


... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to FIR in Crime No.416 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the first respondent, the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District and quash the same as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Saravanakumar

For R-1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

For R-2 : Mr.S.Prasanth



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.1959 of 2024

WEB COPY Srimugundhan

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

Vs.

1.State,
rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No.415/2023)

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2.Shanmugaraj


... 2nd Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records relating to FIR in Crime No.415 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the first respondent, the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District and quash the same as against the petitioner.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Prasanth
For R-1	: Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi Government Advocate (Crl. Side)
For R-2	: Mr.P.Saravanakumar

COMMON ORDER

The instant Criminal Original Petitions have been filed seeking quash of two FIRs in Crime No.415 of 2023 and Crime No.416 of 2023 respectively.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

2. The defacto complainant in one case is the accused in the other case.

Both the cases were registered for the offences under Section 294(b), 323 506(1) IPC and 506(2) IPC. The petitioners are neighbours and pursuant to the mediation conducted between them, they had agreed to withdraw their respective cases and had filed separate compromise memos in both the cases.

3. The scanned copy of the joint compromise memo filed in Crl.O.P. (MD).No.613 of 2024 reads as follows:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Jurisdiction)
Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 613 of 2024
against
Crime No. 416 of 2023
(On the file of the Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District)

Shanmugaraj, M/43 years,
S/o. Annadurai,
3/86, North Street,
Anaikaraipatti, Sattur,
Virudhunagar District.

... Petitioner/Sole Accused

- Vs. -


1. State,
rep. by Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
(Crime No. 416/2023) ... 1st Respondent /
Complainant


2. Srimugundhan,
S/o. Rasalah,
3/201-2, Melaputhur,
Soorankudi Post,
Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District. ... 2nd Respondent /
Defacto-complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO U/s. 320(8) r/w. 482 of Cr.P.C.

The Petitioner respectfully begs to submit as follows:

The Petitioner abovenamed begs to prefer this Memorandum of Criminal Original Petition praying to quash the FIR in Crime No.416 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District for the alleged offences u/s. 294(b), 323 and 506(i) IPC, on the basis of the complaint given by the defacto-complainant/ 2nd Respondent herein.


Petitioner/Accused


2nd Respondent/
Defacto-Complainant.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

WEB COPY

... 2 ...


2. To avoid unpleasant situation, the Petitioner and the *defacto*-complainant had amicably resolved their disputes. Further, the *defacto*-complainant agreed to withdraw the case as against the Petitioner herein.

3. Both the petitioner and the *defacto*-complainant engaged in a heated discussion and resolved the issue, there has been an attempt to resolve their disputes conflict peacefully. Further, the *defacto*-complainant agreed to withdraw the case as against the Petitioner.

4. It is pertinent to mention that the *defacto*-complainant voluntarily arrived consensus for withdrawal of the said case and they have assured that no undue influence or coercion had taken place at the instance of the Petitioner.


Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the compromise and quash the FIR in Crime No.416 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District and thus render justice.


Dated at Madurai this ^{3rd} day of ^{September} ~~January~~ 2024¹⁵


Petitioner/Accused


2nd Respondent/
Defacto-Complainant.


Counsel for Petitioner


Counsel for 2nd Respondent/
Defacto-complainant



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

4. The scanned copy of the joint compromise memo filed in Crl.O.P.

(MD).No.1959 of 2024 reads as follows:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
MADURAI BENCH
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Crl.O.P.(MD)No. 1959 of 2024
against
Crime No. 415 of 2023
(On the file of the Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District)

Srimugundhan, M/49 years,
S/o. Rasaiah,
3/201-2, Melaputhur,
Soorankudi Post, Sattur Taluk,
Virudhunagar District. ... Petitioner/Sole Accused

- Vs. -

1. State,
rep. by Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District. ... 1st Respondent /
(Crime No. 415/2023) Complainant


2. Shanmugaraj, M/43 years,
S/o. Annadurai,
3/86, North Street,
Anaikaraipatti,
Sattur Taluk, ... 2nd Respondent /
Virudhunagar District. Defacto-complainant

JOINT COMPROMISE MEMO U/s. 320(8) r/w. 482 of Cr.P.C.

The Petitioner respectfully begs to submit as follows:

The Petitioner abovenamed begs to prefer this Memorandum of Criminal Original Petition praying to quash the FIR in Crime No.415 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District for the alleged offences u/s. 294(b), 323 and 506(ii) IPC, on the basis of the complaint given by the defacto-complainant/ 2nd Respondent herein.


Petitioner/Accused


2nd Respondent/
Defacto-Complainant.



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

WEB COPY

... 2 ...

2. To avoid unpleasant situation, the Petitioner and the *defacto*-complainant had amicably resolved their disputes. Further, the *defacto*-complainant agreed to withdraw the case as against the Petitioner herein.

3. Both the petitioner and the *defacto*-complainant engaged in a heated discussion and resolved the issue, there has been an attempt to resolve their disputes conflict peacefully. Further, the *defacto*-complainant agreed to withdraw the case as against the Petitioner.

4. It is pertinent to mention that the *defacto*-complainant voluntarily arrived consensus for withdrawal of the said case and they have assured that no undue influence or coercion had taken place at the instance of the Petitioner.


Therefore, it is prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to record the compromise and quash the FIR in Crime No.415 of 2023 dated 05.12.2023, on the file of the Inspector of Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District and thus render justice.

Dated at Madurai this ^{3rd} 12th day of ^{September} ~~January~~ 2024.


Petitioner/Accused


2nd Respondent/
Defacto-Complainant.


Counsel for Petitioner


Counsel for 2nd Respondent/
Defacto-complainant

5. The *defacto* complainant in both the cases are present before this Court and they have been identified by Mr.C.Ragavan, Special Sub Inspector of



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

Police, Sattur Town Police Station, Virudhunagar District. Both of them confirmed the terms of the compromise entered into between themselves.

6. In view of the aforesaid compromise and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned FIRs pending investigation. Accordingly, the impugned FIRs in Crime Nos.415 and 416 of 2023 are quashed and the Criminal Original Petitions are allowed.

04.09.2025

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes/ No
Lm

To

- 1.The Inspector of Police,
Sattur Town Police Station,
Virudhunagar District.
- 2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

SUNDER MOHAN, J.

Lm

Crl.O.P(MD).Nos.613 and 1959 of 2024

04.09.2025