



Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

K.Jeya

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.


3. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai Police Station,
Thiruvadanai,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4. S.Parvathy

5. C.Durairaj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C to direct the first respondent to direct the third respondent to give adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and



Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

her family members and for the enjoyment of the properties, by considering the petitioners complaint dated 08.01.2024 within the period stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Atham Ali,

For R1 to R3 : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking a direction to the first respondent to direct the third respondent to give adequate police protection to the life and limb of the petitioner and her family members and for the enjoyment of her properties, by considering the petitioners complaint dated 08.01.2024.

2.When the matter is taken up for hearing, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner's representation was already considered and the same was closed. Therefore, nothing survives for further adjudication in this matter.



Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

WEB COPY

3.Considering the limited scope of the prayer sought for in this petition, this Court, without even issuing notice to the private respondent, is inclined to pass order.

4.According to the petitioner, she sent the complaint, dated 08.01.2024, but the same was not considered. According to the third respondent, the petitioner's complaint was considered and closed. Therefore, it is for the petitioner to take appropriate steps to challenge the closure of the complaint, in the manner known to law.

5.Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is closed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the third respondent, if any criminal activities done by the private respondents, in the manner known to law.

28.03.2025

Internet :Yes
Index :Yes/No
NCC :Yes/No
LR



Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

WEB COPY To

1. The Superintendent of Police,
Office of the Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District.

2. The Deputy Superintendent Of Police,
Office of the Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai Police Station,
Thiruvadanai,
Thiruvadanai Taluk,
Ramanathapuram District.

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

P. DHANABAL, J.

LR

Crl.O.P(MD)No.497 of 2024

28.03.2025