



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.670 of 2024

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 22.10.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.670 of 2024

and

Crl.M.P(MD) No.399 of 2024

1.Karthikeyan

2.Mohan

3.Krishnaveni

... Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Rajapalayam,  
Virudhunagar District.  
Crime No.28 of 2023.

...1<sup>st</sup> Respondent / Complainant

2.Vimala

...2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent/ Defacto complainant

**Prayer:** Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the records relating to C.C.No.18 of 2024 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Srivilliputhur and quash the same as against the petitioners. *(The prayer amended as per the order of this Court, vide order dated 22.10.2025)*



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.670 of 2024*

For Petitioners : Mr.P.M.Vishnu Varthanan  
For R1 : Mr.K.Sanjai Gandhi  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)  
For R2 : Mr.R.Ilayaraja

### **ORDER**

The petitioners seek to quash the final report in C.C.No.18 of 2024 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Srivilliputhur, which has been filed for the offences under Sections 498(A), 294(b), 406 and 506(i) of IPC.

2. The allegation in the impugned final report is that the first petitioner is the husband and the second and third petitioners are in-laws of the second respondent; that the petitioners had committed cruelty in connection with the dowry demand and had failed to return the jewels and other articles given to the second respondent as gifts at the time of marriage and thus, committed the aforesaid offences.

3. Admittedly, the dispute arises out of the matrimonial differences between the first petitioner and the second respondent and the second respondent is now presently living with the first petitioner and the parties have now resolved their differences and have entered into a compromise. The parties



**Crl.O.P.(MD)No.670 of 2024**

have filed a joint compromise memo, dated 22.10.2025.

WEB COPY

4. The petitioners and the second respondent, namely, Vimala (Aadhaar No.2707 6321 5584) are present before this Court in person. They are identified by Mr.N.Krishnamoorthy, Special Sub Inspector of Police, All Women Police Station, Rajapalayam, Virudhunagar District. All the parties confirmed the compromise arrived at between them.

5. In view of the same and considering the nature of the offences and the relationship between the parties, this Court is of the view that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the impugned final report pending trial. Accordingly, the impugned final report in C.C.No.18 of 2024 on the file of the Additional Mahila Court, Srivilliputhur is quashed and the Criminal Original Petition stands allowed. The joint compromise memo dated 22.10.2025 shall form part of this order. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

**22.10.2025**

NCC : Yes / No  
Index : Yes / No  
Internet : Yes/ No

Indu

3/4



***Crl.O.P.(MD)No.670 of 2024***

**SUNDER MOHAN, J.**

Indu

To

- 1.The Additional Mahila Court, Srivilliputhur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
All Women Police Station,  
Rajapalayam,  
Virudhunagar District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,  
Madurai.

**Crl.O.P(MD).No.670 of 2024**

**22.10.2025**