



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: **10.01.2025**

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE **MR.JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

Valangapuli

... Petitioner

/Vs./

1. The Sub Registrar

Sub Registrar Office, Sankarankovil,
Tenkasi District.

2. Arulmigu Sankaranarayasamy Temple,

Represented By,

The Assistant Commissioner / Executive Officer,
Sankarankovil, Tenkasi District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records of the impugned Refusal Check slip in Refusal Number. RFL / Sankarankovil / 120/2024 dated 16.12.2024 issued by the 1st respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the 1st respondent to register the document submitted by the petitioner with regard to Southern side of the Plot Number. 8 comprised in old Survey Number. 619 later sub divided into 619/2 now Natham Survey Number. 755/15A3 measuring to an extent of 1848.75 Sq. Ft with constructed house and all its appurtenances situated at N.G.O. Colony Part, Gomathisankar colony, Ward No.5,



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

Kalappakulam village, Kalappakulam Panchayat, Sankarankovil Panchayat Union, Tenkasi District, within a time frame fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.J.Karthick

For Respondents : Mr.S.P.Maharajan
Special Government Pleader

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the check slip issued by the first respondent dated 16.12.2024 and consequently direct the first respondent to register the document submitted by the petitioner with regard to Southern side of the Plot Number. 8 comprised in old Survey Number. 619, sub divided into S.No.619/2, Natham Survey No. 755/15A3, measuring to an extent of 1848.75 sq. ft with constructed house and all its appurtenances situated at N.G.O.Colony Part, Gomathi sankar Colony, Ward No.5, Kalappakulam village, Kalappakulam Panchayat, Sankarankovil Panchayat Union, Tenkasi District.

2. By consent of both parties, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission itself. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials placed before this Court.



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. According to the petitioner, he purchased the Plot Number. 8 comprised in old Survey Number. 619 later sub divided into 619/2 now Natham Survey Number. 755/15A3 measuring to an extent of 1848.75 Sq. Ft with constructed house and all its appurtenances situated at N.G.O. Colony Part, Gomathisankar colony, Ward No.5, Kalappakulam village, Kalappakulam Panchayat, Sankarankovil Panchayat Union, Tenkasi District, vide a registered sale deed dated 27.07.2020 in document No. 1629/2020. Thereafter on 16.12.2024, the petitioner decided to sell the subject property in favour of the third party and to execute a sale deed and presented the same before the first respondent for registration. However, on 16.12.2024, the first respondent refused to accept the same citing the objection dated 09.02.2021 given by the 2nd respondent. Since, the same was rejected by the first respondent, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that patta proceedings had been initiated under Act 30 of 1963 and vide order dated 30.04.1972, ryotwari patta had been issued in



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

favour of the predecessors of the petitioner in respect of the properties in question and that order has been reached finality, which has not been challenged. Ever since the date of patta, the predecessor in title enjoyed the properties in question and several transaction had also taken place. Now, by giving mere objection before the registering authority, the Temple cannot prevent the transaction.

5. The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents would submit that the subject properties are service inams and patta has been granted only under Section 8(2)(ii) and 8(5) r/w Section 21 of Tamil Nadu Minor Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1963 (hereinafter, referred to as 'Act 30 of 1963) and if the service is not rendered by the pattadharars, they do not have any right either to enjoy the lands or to alienate the same and the Temple can resume the lands at any time as per Section 41 of Tamil Nadu Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments Act, 1959 (hereinafter, referred to as HR & CE Act'). That apart, Section 38 of Act 30 of 1963 also makes such transaction as void. Hence, he opposed this Writ Petition.



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

6. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

7. It is not disputed by both sides that patta had been originally granted under Section 8(2)(ii) and 8(5) r/w Section 21 of Act 30 of 1963. That order has been reached finality, which has not been challenged.

8. It is relevant to extract Sections 8(2)(ii) and 8(5) of Act 30 of 1963, which read as follows:-

“8. Grant of ryotwari Pattas:-

(2)(ii) In the case of any other land, the institution or the individual rendering service shall, with effect on and from the appointed day, be entitled to a ryotwari patta in respect of that land.

(5) In the case of a minor inam held immediately before the appointed day by an individual on condition of rendering service to a religious, educational or charitable institution, the grant of ryotwari patta under sub-section (1) or (2) shall be subject to the provisions of section 21.”



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

9. The above provisions make it clear that any patta granted under Section 8 of Act 30 of 1963 shall be subject to the provision of Section 21.

10. Section 21 of Act 30 of 1963 reads as follows:-

“21. Service inams.

(1)The provisions of this section shall apply in respect of any minor inam which was held immediately before the appointed day by an individual (hereinafter referred to in this section as the service-holder) on condition of rendering service to a religious, educational or charitable institution.

(2)The service-holder shall, subject to the provisions of sub-section (3), be bound to continue to render the service after the appointed day.

(3)(i)Where a service-holder is entitled to a ryotwari patta under section 8 in respect of any land, he shall have the option -

(a)either to pay to the religious institution the amount specified in subsection (4) and on such payment the land shall, notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (7), be discharged from the condition of the service; or

(b)to hold the land and continue to render service subject to the provisions contained in sub-sections (1), (2), (6) and (7).



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

(ii)The option referred to in clause (i) shall be twenty times the difference between the fair rent in respect of such land determined in accordance with the provisions contained in the Schedule and the land revenue due on such land.

(5)Where the service-holder has exercised his option to pay the amount specified in sub-section (4), the tasdik allowance referred to in sub-section (6) in respect of the period subsequent to the date of the exercise of such option shall be the absolute property of the institution and the institution shall be at liberty to make such arrangements as it thinks fit for the performance of the service.

(6)(a)For so long as the service-holder renders the service, the institution shall pay to the service-holder the tasdik allowance paid by the Government under section 20.

(b)If the service-holder fails to render the service, the prescribed officer shall, after such inquiry and after such notice to the service-holder as may be prescribed in this behalf, notify such failure in such manner as may be prescribed. He shall then declare that the tasdik allowance payable to the institution in respect of the period subsequent to the failure shall be the absolute property of the institution and the institution shall be at liberty to make such arrangement as it thinks fit for the performance of the service.

(7)(a)For so long as the service-holder renders the service, he shall be entitled to occupy permanently the lands in respect of which he is entitled to a patta under section 8, subject however, to the payment of the assessment fixed [under section



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

16 or under section 16-A, as the case may be] [Substituted for the expression 'under section 16' by section 13 of the Tamil Nadu Minor Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1964 (Tamil Nadu Act 33 of 1964).], in respect of such lands.

(b)If the service-holder fails to render the service, the prescribed officer shall, after such inquiry and after such notice to the service-holder as may be prescribed in this behalf, notify such failure in such manner as may be prescribed. He shall then declare that the service-holder's right to occupy permanently the land under clause (a) shall cease and determine, and the institution shall be at liberty to make such arrangement as it thinks fit for the performance of the service and shall be entitled to hold the land as its absolute property subject, however, to the payment of the assessment fixed therefor [under section 16 or under section 16-A, as the case may be] [Substituted for the expression 'under section 16' by section 13 of the Tamil Nadu Minor Inams (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Amendment Act, 1964 (Tamil Nadu Act 33 of 1964).].”

11. The above Section makes it clear that when the ryotwari patta is granted under Section 8 in respect of any land, the pattadharar will have option either to pay to the religious institution the amount specified in subsection (4) and on such payment the land shall,



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (7), be discharged from the condition of the service; or to hold the land and continue to render service subject to the provisions contained in sub-sections (1), (2), (6) and (7). However, such amount has not been specified while passing the order.

12. Be that as it may, Section 21 further makes it clear that as long as the service holders renders the service, the institution shall pay to the service-holder the tasdik allowance paid by the Government under section 20. However, if the pattadharar failed to render any service, then notice shall be issued to such pattadharars by the concerned authorities, then, order has to be passed to the effect that the properties are belonged to the institution.

13.. Now, except issuing the objection letter to the authorities, no action has been taken under Section 21 either to resume the land or to declare that the service is not rendered by the concerned person. Whether the service has been properly rendered or discontinued is a matter of fact, which has to be established in the manner known to law.



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

Once it is established that there is no service, the Temple is entitled to resume the land and there is no difficulty at all. However, if it is established that service is continuing, the pattadharar is entitled to occupy the land permanently in respect of which patta has been granted. Without establishing these facts, merely by giving application before the authorities, the Temple cannot establish their right. No doubt, Section 41 of HR & CE Act, Section 38 of Act 30 of 1963 make the transfer of land as void.

14. In such view of the matter, this Court is of the view that mere objection before the registering authorities itself is not sufficient to refuse the registration. Therefore, the impugned check slip passed by the first respondent dated 16.12.2024 is liable to be quashed, accordingly, quashed. The petitioner is directed to re-present the sale deed before the first respondent within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the same, the first respondent is directed to register the documents presented by the petitioner within a period of one week thereafter and release the same forthwith. It is for the temple to take appropriate action to resume the land or atleast to file a suit to



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

WEB COPY

recover the entire land, if the service is not properly rendered or discontinued.

15. With the above observation and direction, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

10.01.2025

Index : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No
LS

TO:-

1. The Sub Registrar
Sub Registrar Office, Sankarankovil,
Tenkasi District.
2. The Assistant Commissioner / Executive Officer,
Arulmigu Sankaranarayasamy Temple,
Sankarankovil,
Tenkasi District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

LS

Order made in
W.P.(MD)No.806 of 2025

Dated:
10.01.2025