

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 03.03.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025

and

Crl.M.P(MD) Nos.222 and 223 of 2025

Vijay

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep by the Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Nilakottai,
Dindigul District Crime No. 8/2024.

2. Sathya

... Respondents

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS,
to call for the records bearing Spl S.C No. 81 of 2024 on the file of the
Special Court for POCSO Act Cases, Dindigul and quash the same as
against the petitioner.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Jagadeesh Pandian

For Respondents : Mr.M.Sakthi Kumar
No.1 Government Advocate(Crl.Side)
No.2 : Mr.P.Varun Prakash



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025




ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the charge sheet in Spl.S.C.No.81 of 2024 before the Special Court for POSCO Act Cases, Dindigul.

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing today, the learned counsel appearing on either side and victim also present. Both the 2nd respondent, mother of victim and the accused filed joint compromise memo stating that the petitioner and the victim got married and they have a child. The victim attains majority and they are now living together as husband and wife and the victim has no objection to quash the charge sheet.

3. Today both the parties present and this Court also enquired the victim as well as the accused and both stated that they are living as husband and wife along with the child. Therefore it is appropriate to allow the petition based on the compromise arrived at between the parties.




WEB COPY

4. At this juncture, it is relevant to refer the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***K.Dhandapani Vs. The State by the Inspector of Police*** reported in ***2022 SCC Online SC 1056***, has held as follows:

“In the peculiar facts and circumstances of this case, we are of the considered view that the conviction and sentence of the appellant who is maternal uncle of the prosecutrix deserves to be set aside in view of the subsequent events that have been brought to the notice of this Court. This Court cannot shut its eyes to the ground reality and disturb the happy family life of the appellant and the prosecutrix. We have been informed about the custom in Tamilnadu of the marriage of a girl with the maternal uncle”.

5.The legal position expressed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another*** reported in ***(2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*** reported in ***(2017) 9 SCC 641*** were taken into consideration.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025

6. In view of the above said judgments and the fact that the petitioner and the victim are living together as husband and wife along with their child after marriage and though charges are grave in nature, considering the relationship between the parties and the amicable settlement between themselves this Court is of the view that the compromise is to be accepted. Therefore, in order to meet the ends of justice by invoking inherent power of this Court, this Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and the pending proceedings against the petitioner in Spl.S.C.No.81 of 2024 on the file of the Special Court for POSCO Act Cases, Dindigul is hereby quashed. Consequently connected miscellaneous petitions stand closed.

03.03.2025

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
PNM

To

1. The Special Court for POSCO Act Cases, Dindigul
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station, Nilakottai,
Dindigul District Crime No. 8/2024.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

PNM

CrI.O.P.(MD)No.358 of 2025
and CrI.M.P(MD) Nos.222 and 223 of 2025

03.03.2025