



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 21.04.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE BATTU DEVANAND

Cont.P.(MD)No.68 of 2025

in

W.P.(MD)No.13856 of 2024

K.Arumugam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.Thiru.T. Anand I.A.S.,
Director of Adi Dravidar Welfare,
Ezhilagam,
Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.

2.Thiru.S.Thiruppathi,
Pay and Accounts Officer,
Egmore East,
Chennai – 600 008

...Contemnor/
Respondents 1 & 2

PRAYER: Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act, to pleased to punish the Contemnors/Respondents 1 and 2 for their willful and deliberate disobedience by not carrying out the orders of this Honourable Court in W.P.(MD) No.13856 of 2024 dated 28.06.2024.



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Kasiraja
For Respondents : Mr. T. Veerakathiravan
Senior Counsel
Assisted by Mr. T. Amjadkhan

ORDER

This Contempt Petition is filed complaining willful disobedience of the respondents in implementing the order dated 28.06.2024 in WP(MD)No.13856 of 2024.

2.This Court *prima facie* satisfied that the order of this Court is not complied with and issued statutory notice for the appearance of the respondents.

3.Accordingly, today, Thiru.T. Anand I.A.S., and Thiru.S.Thiruppathi, respondents 1 and 2 herein are present before this Court.



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

WEB COPY

4. During the course of hearing, the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that against the order of the learned Single Judge, they preferred writ appeal and the same was dismissed on 13.05.2025 and immediately thereafter, by 28.03.2025, they issued proceedings to implement the order of this Court.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that they received payment on 16.04.2025.

6. Considering the above facts, it appears that though the orders of this Court is complied with, admittedly, there is a delay in implementing the order. The respondents filed their affidavits wherein, they tendered unconditional apology for the delay caused in implementing the order of this Court.

7.The learned Senior Counsel for the respondents would submit that considering the unconditional apology tendered by the respondents,



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

WEB COPY

this contempt petition may be closed by taking lenient view towards the respondents.

8.Considering the request of the learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents, this Court intends to take lenient view towards the respondents for the delay caused in implementing the order of this Court.

9. Accordingly, this contempt petition is closed. The respondents shall be careful and vigilant in implementing the order of this Court in true letter and spirit in future. This Court is making it clear that if orders are not complied within the time stipulated by this Court in future, series note will be taken.

21.04.2025

Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes / No
NCC : Yes / No

SN



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

WEB COPY

To,

1.Thiru.T. Anand I.A.S.,
Director of Adi Dravidar Welfare,
Ezhilagam,
Chepauk,
Chennai – 600 005.

2.Thiru.S.Thiruppathi,
Pay and Accounts Officer,
Egmore East,
Chennai – 600 008



WEB COPY



Cont.P(MD)No.68 of 2025

BATTU DEVANAND, J.,

SN

Cont.P.(MD)No.68 of 2025
in W.P.(MD)No.13856 of 2024

21.04.2025