



W.P.No.31521 of 2018

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.03.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

W.P.No.31521 of 2018 and
W.M.P.No.36738 of 2018

K.Tamilamudhan

... Petitioner

Vs.

Tamil Nadu Teachers Recruitment Board,
College Road, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 006.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondent to include the name of the petitioner in the tentative provisional selection list in the MBC Quota direct recruitment of special teachers for the year 2012-2016 for the drawing teacher.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For Respondent : Mr.C.Kathirvel, Standing Counsel



W.P.No.31521 of 2018

ORDER

WEB COPY

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the respondent to include the name of the petitioner in the tentative provisional selection list in the MBC Quota direct recruitment of special teachers for the year 2012-2016 for the drawing teacher.

2. No representation for the petitioner. Heard Mr.C.Kathirvel, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

3. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondent submitted that the recruitment process has been completed and the petitioner has not been selected.

4. On perusal of the affidavit filed by the petitioner, it is seen that the petitioner has secured 57 marks in the written examination conducted by the respondent Recruitment Board. As the petitioner has employment seniority of 28 years, he deserves five marks to be awarded to his employment seniority and in such case, his total marks would come to



W.P.No.31521 of 2018

62. He has claimed that the cut off marks for the last selected MBC candidate under MBC quota is 57 and hence, the petitioner would have very well be within the zone of consideration for appointment as he has secured more marks than the last candidate who has got only 57 marks in total.

5. Even though the respondent has stated that they have completed the selection process, no explanation has been given as to why the petitioner's candidature has not been considered for selection. As there is no representation for the petitioner and the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking only the Writ of Mandamus, I feel it is appropriate to direct the respondent to furnish the information to the petitioner as to why he has not been selected or any other information as to the concern raised by the petitioner in this Writ Petition.

6. In the result, this Writ Petition is disposed and the respondent is directed to furnish the information to the petitioner as to why he has not been selected or any other information as to the concern raised by the




W.P.No.31521 of 2018

petitioner in this Writ Petition, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Index : Yes /No
Speaking / Non-speaking
Neutral Citation : Yes / No
gsk

14.03.2025



W.P.No.31521 of 2018

To
WEB COPY

Tamil Nadu Teachers Recruitment Board,
College Road, Nungambakkam,
Chennai 600 006.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.31521 of 2018

R.N.MANJULA, J.

gsk

W.P.No.31521 of 2018 and
W.M.P.No.36738 of 2018

14.03.2025