



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.12.2025

CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

S.Prasad

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
(Crime No.812 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 484 of BNSS Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.812 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Mohammed Iqbal.A

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS Act, in connection with Crime No.812 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

Page No.1 of 6



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused have waylaid the de-facto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.1300/- at knife point in a public place and also threatened the de-facto complainant with dire consequences. Thus, the de-facto complainant given complaint against the petitioner. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the de-facto complainant and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that petitioner along with other accused had threatened the de-facto complainant with knife; the other co-accused were arrested and enlarged on bail; no previous case as against the petitioner and further considering the gravity of offence, he opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offence and also the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioner, and already co-accused in this case were granted bail this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the **Judicial Magistrate, Tiruvottiyur** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily until further orders.**



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.12.2025

vm

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

To:

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Tiruvottiyur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
M-5 Ennore Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

vm

CrI.O.P.No.36090 of 2025

30.12.2025