



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

Umapathy

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.456 of 2025)

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.456 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Camyles Gandhi W

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b),



Crl.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

118(1) & 351(3) of BNS, in Crime No.456 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused in a drunken mood attacked the defacto complainant with beer bottle, due to which, he sustained head injury. The other accused have also attacked the defacto complainant with hands and threatened him. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen and he is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court; therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the petitioner in a drunken mood



CrI.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

attacked the defacto complainant with beer bottle, due to which he sustained head injury. He further submitted that the petitioner is having nine previous cases and the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both sides, the nature of offences, and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and all the accused have already been secured by the respondent police, and that though the petitioner has previous cases, he has been granted bail in all the cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy was made ready, before the

3/6



CrI.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

learned **Judicial Magistrate-II, Ponneri**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders for the interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the



CrI.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of the BNS.

30.12.2025

dna/kas

Note:

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

dna/kas

Copy to:

1.The Judicial Magistrate-II
Ponneri.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Sholavaram Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.456 of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai – 600 104.

Crl.O.P.No.35821 of 2025

30.12.2025