



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP.No.36173 of 2025

Mahadevan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by its,
Sub Inspector of Police,
D2 Chengalpet Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu
[Crime No.725 of 2025]

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under 482 of BNSS Act, 2023 to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.725 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Dharma Raj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 303(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No.725 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 09.11.2025 at about



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025

9.30 p.m, while the *de facto* complainant was driving his car through the

Chengalpattu Toll Plaza along with two other persons, a dispute arose between both the parties, as a result of which, the petitioner, who is the Staff, has abused and attacked the *de facto* complainant using filthy language. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged and he had been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that due to the dispute arose between both the parties, the petitioner abused and attacked the *de facto* complainant using filthy language and there is a case and counter case and that no injury was caused to the *de facto* complainant and also considering the gravity of offence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025

5. Considering the representations made by both sides and

there is a case and counter case and considering the nature of the offence charged against the petitioner and as no injury was caused to the *de facto* complainant and that there is no previous case pending as against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***Judicial Magistrate II, Chengelpattu*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] **the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks;**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade then from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[g] If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.12.2025

mac/ssn

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order

4/6



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025

being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate II, Chengalpattu
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
D2 Chengalpet Taluk Police Station,
Chengalpattu
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.36173 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J,

mac/ssn

CRL.OP.No.36173 of 2025

30.12.2025