



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.36081 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.12.2025

CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

CrI.O.P.No.36081 of 2025

Imran

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State Rep. By,
Sub Inspector of Police,
P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station,,
Chennai.
(Crime No.555 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on Bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.555 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Rafi Babu

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar,
Government Advocate (CrI.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 296(b), 311, 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023, in connection with Crime No.555 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

Page No.1 of 6



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the de-facto complainant is an auto driver. On 07.11.2025, when he return back to his home, the petitioner along with other accused waylaid the de-facto complainant and robbed a sum of Rs.820/- at knife point and also threatened him with dire consequences. Thus, the de-facto complainant given complaint against the petitioner. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged by the de-facto complainant and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for his due release and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Hon'ble Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that there are twelve previous

Page No.2 of 6



cases pending against the petitioner. However, he would submit that the co-accused has been released on bail.

WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of offences and though the petitioner has got some previous cases against him, he has been granted bail in those cases and also considering the fact that the co-accused has been released on bail, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *X Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore* on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall

Page No.3 of 6



stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders..

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.12.2025

vm

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Page No.4 of 6



CrI.O.P.No.36081 of 2025

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To:

- 1.The X Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore.
- 2.The Sub-Inspector of Police,
P-6, Kodungaiyur Police Station,
Chennai.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.36081 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J.

vm

Crl.O.P.No.36081 of 2025

30.12.2025