



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35937 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35937 of 2025

S. Yuvaraj

Petitioner(s)/ A3

Vs

The State Rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
V-5 Thirumanagalam Police Station, Chennai District.
Cr.No.805 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail concerned in Crime No.805 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police, on such terms and conditions and as this Court may deem fit and proper.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.V. Kirubanandham

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner / A3, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 06.12.2025 for the offence punishable under Sections 127(2), 137(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS, in Cr. No.805 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.12.2025, the petitioner along with other accused kidnapped the defacto complainant, wrongfully confined him in a car, abused and assaulted him and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the respondent police have registered a false case against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Sections 127(2), 137(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of BNS. The petitioner is an innocent and he is nothing to do with the alleged offences. He is in judicial custody from 06.12.2025. Therefore prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) would submit that the petitioner, along with other accused, abducted the defacto complainant and illegally confined him near Kovalam. The accused forced the defacto complainant to call his wife and falsely state that he was detained by the police, and also compelled him to admit ownership of assets linked to Arudhra Trading Company, which was videographed. During transit, the defacto complainant raised alarm, leading to his rescue by a Traffic Sub-Inspector and the arrest of the accused, including the petitioner. Video footage connects the petitioner with



the crime, and as the investigation is pending. Hence, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of offences and also taking note of the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 06.12.2025, moreover, the petitioner has no previous case and also considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner from 06.12.2025, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **learned XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

26-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
Jeni

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code



To

1. Learned XIII Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore.
2. The Superintendent, Puzhal Jail Division II, Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police,
V-5 Thirumanagalam Police Station, Chennai
4. The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35937 of 2025



P.DHANABAL J.

Jeni

CRL OP No. 35937 of 2025

26-12-2025