



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE **P.DHANABAL**

Crl.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

Vignesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Kandili Police Station,
Kandili Tirupathur District.
(Crime No.317 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS,
pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime
No.317 of 2025 on the file of the Inspector of Police, Kandili Police Station,
Kandili, Tirupathur district.

For Petitioner : Mr.Vinodh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioner / accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) and 326(a) of BNSS Act, 2023 (Sections 379, 430 of IPC) r/w Section 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in connection with the Cr.No.317 of 2025 seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 19.11.2025, the petitioner was found to be transporting one unit of sand in a tractor bearing registration No.TN76 M 2439, without obtaining valid permission. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, and he has been falsely implicated by the respondent police and he is in no way connected with the offences as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that there is no previous case filed as against the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that on the date of the alleged occurrence, upon the complaint given by the



CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

Special Revenue Inspector of Geology and Mining Department, Thirupathur,

against the petitioner regarding the illegal transportation of one unit of sand in a tractor bearing registration No.TN76 M 2439, the respondent police registered the First Information Report against the petitioner. He further submitted that during investigation, it was revealed that the accused is transporting one unit of sand without any valid permission; and that the petitioner has no previous case, pending against him. However, he strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both side counsel, nature of offence, the nature and quantity of material involved in this case, and the fact that no previous cases are pending against petitioner, and also considering all other factors, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial**



CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

Magistrate No.II, Thirupathur, on condition that the petitioner shall

execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, **on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.**

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

WEB COPY

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30.12.2025

grs/jai

Note:-

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.

grs/jai

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Thirupathur.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kandili Police Station,
Kandili Tirupathur District..
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CrI.O.P.No.36020 of 2025

30.12.2025