



CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP.No.35794 of 2025

1.Rani

2.Kuttiammal@Sugasini

... Petitioners

Vs.

1. State represented by its,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tindivanam,
Villupuram District.
[Crime No.22 of 2023]

2.Mrs.Uma

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.,
to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent
police in Crime No.22 of 2023 on the file of the respondent Police.

For Petitioners : Mr.Gopinath, K.V.

For Respondents : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners/ Accused Nos.5 and 7 apprehends arrest for the
alleged offence punishable under Sections 417, 294(b), 498A, 506(i) IPC



CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025
and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, in Crime No.22 of 2023 on the
file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant made a complaint before the respondent police alleging that the petitioners are distant relative of the husband of the defacto complainant and alleged that after performing engagement of marriage with Rajasekar(A3), thereafter, they are refused to marry her, subsequently panchayat was held in the presence of village people and then the marriage had taken place before the panchayatar's. Thereafter petitioners alleged to have caused cruelty by demanding dowry. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged and they had been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners are ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties for their due release and abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025


4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

appearing for the respondent police submitted that charge sheet filed against the petitioners along with other accused and investigation has been completed and now the case is posted for the appearance of the accused before the learned magistrate and no previous case is pending. He further submitted that considering the gravity of offence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the nature of offences and already investigation has been completed and now the case is posted for the appearance of the accused before the learned magistrate and also taking into consideration of the fact that no previous cases are pending as against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant **anticipatory bail** to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the **Judicial Magistrate-2, Tindivanam** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(each) (Rupees Ten Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the

3/6



satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate-2, Tindivanam, on every 1st working of english calender month for a period of three months;

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts of the Court or to any police officer.

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down



CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025

by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala*
[(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR
can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30.12.2025

ssn/mac

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate-2, Tindivanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Tindivanam,
Villupuram District.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025

P.DHANABAL,J,

mac/ssn

CRL.O.P.No.35794 of 2025

30.12.2025