



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35724 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35724 of 2025

Arockiaraj

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. B6 The Inspector of Police,
CBCID Police Station, Vellore,
Vellore District, Tamil Nadu
(Crime no 3 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Baalaji

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 05.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 120-B, 420, 465, 468 & 506(i) of IPC in Cr. No.3 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein along with other accused have deceived the defacto complainant and other victims by



representing that foreign money was available in RBI and that they would yield huge profits if they could take it out of RBI by investing their money. Being the same, the defacto complainant and other victims parted away with their money.

Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and remanded to judicial custody on 05.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 420, 465, 468, 506(i) r/w 34 of IPC alleging that during 2019 various amounts were paid by the defacto complainant and his wife to one A.Josephine Reena @ Renna jeyaraj and her husband on the promise of receiving 10% monthly profit. The allegations primarily relate to finance transactions between the defacto complainant, his wife and A.Josephine Reena @ Reena Jeyaraj. The petitioner states that he never committed any offence as alleged by the respondent and the above case has been foisted against him and prior to the date of registration of FIR, the petitioner have been allegedly detained and later foisted this false case against him, apart from that, he has not committed any offence, that he is in judicial custody from 05.11.2025 and he has no any previous case pending against him and hence he prayed to grant bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) would submit that this petitioner has cheated the defacto complainant under the guise of yielding



huge profits by investing money and investigation is at initial stage. Hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel appearing for the intervenor has reiterated the arguments of the learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) appearing for the State.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side, considering the nature of offences and the alleged date of occurrence took place in the year 2018 but, the complaint was lodged in the year 2025 and there is a money transaction between the parties and that no previous case is pending against this petitioner and also considering the incarceration period of this petitioner from 05.11.2025, I am inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore** and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m. for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for



interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

26-12-2025

kkn/smv

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Inspector of Police
CBCID Police Station, Vellore,
Vellore District, Tamil Nadu.

2.The Central Prison,
Vellore.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35724 of 2025



P.DHANABAL J.

KKN/SMV

**CRL OP No. 35724 of
2025**

26-12-2025