



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2025

1. Inddrakumar
2. Saritha @ Ambiga
3. Premalatha

... Petitioners

Vs

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police
Thimiri Police Station
Ranipet District
Crime No.330 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.330 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioners who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS (Sections 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) of IPC) and Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in connection with Crime No.330 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to dispute over sharing of property, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, he would submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners and the injured has been discharged from the hospital.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that the dispute is between the family members and no previous case is pending against the



petitioners and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Walajapettai**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent-police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and



Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2023

the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30.12.2025

ksa-2/cgi



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Walajapettai

2. The Inspector of Police
Thimiri Police Station
Ranipet District

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2023



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.,

ksa-2

Crl.O.P.No.35586 of 2025

30.12.2025