



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

Karthick

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Puzhal Police Station,
Chennai District.
(Crime No.1068 of 2025)

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in the hands of respondent in Crime No.1068 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Saranraj

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2),



Crl.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

191(3), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.1068

of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant dashed against the petitioner's vehicle, causing him to fall down, there was a quarrel between them, due to which the petitioner along with other accused assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen and he is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court; therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that totally there are seven accused in this case, the petitioner along with other accused assaulted the defacto



CrI.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

complainant when he dashed his vehicle. He further submitted that A1 to

A4 in this case have been arrested and they are still in custody. He added that there are 10 previous cases pending against the petitioner, and that the injured has now been discharged from the hospital. However, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both sides, the nature of offences, and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, though previous cases are pending against the petitioner, he was granted bail in all those cases, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy was made ready, before the

learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court,**



CrI.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

Madhavaram, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four (4) weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.35815 of 2025

entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of the BNS.

30.12.2025

dna/kas

Note:

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

