



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

M.Sakthivel

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Vadavalli Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.Not Known of 2025)

...Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S. Act, 2023, praying to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.Not Known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.Kruthika M

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner /accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(B),



Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

351(3) & 118(1) of IPC, in Crime No.Not Known of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the father in law of the petitioner, due to matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and his wife, the petitioner has attacked the defacto complainant, causing injuries. Hence the complaint.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person, he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is a law-abiding citizen and he is ready and willing to furnish substantial sureties and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court; therefore, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that the defacto complainant is the father in law of the petitioner, due to matrimonial dispute, the petitioner attacked him. He



Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the representation made by both sides, the nature of offences, the relationship between the parties, and there is a family dispute between the parties, and also considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy was made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate-6, Coimbatore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction

3/6



Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions that:

WEB COPY

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four (4) weeks and thereafter, as and when required for the interrogation;

[b] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of the BNS.

30.12.2025

dna/kas

Note:

1.Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2.All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

Copy to:

1.The Judicial Magistrate-6
Coimbatore.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Vadavalli Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
(Crime No.Not Known of 2025)

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai – 600 104.

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

P. DHANABAL, J.

dna/kas

Crl.O.P.No.35772 of 2025

30.12.2025