



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35496 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35496 of 2025

1. Rajeshkumar
S/o Chinnadurai, No.5, Cheran Nagar,
Madhapur Village, Palladam Taluk,
Tiruppur District. and another

2. Elanthamilan
S/o Rajadurai, Nandhini Compound,
Murugan Mill, Tiruppur.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of
Police
Palladam Police Station, Tiruppur
District. Crime No.1193 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER


To enlarge the petitioners on bail pending investigation of the case in the Crime No.1193 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. M. Vijaya Ragavan

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.11.2025, for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3),



296(b) and 351(3) of BNS and under Section 25(1A) of Arms Act, 1959 in Crime No. 1193 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity between the 1st petitioner / A1 and the defacto complainant arising out of allegations that the defacto complainant had an illicit relationship with A1's wife, A1 and A8 engaged in a quarrel with defacto complainant and they abused him with filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have no connection with the alleged incident and that, due to previous enmity, the defacto complainant has falsely implicated them. He would further submit that the petitioners were arrested and is in judicial custody from 27.11.2025. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police submitted that, on the date of the alleged occurrence, the petitioners attempted to attack the defacto complainant due to previous enmity. He further submitted that no injury was caused to the defacto complainant. He also further submitted that 11 previous cases are pending against the petitioners. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of offences and the fact that no one was injured in this case and also the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 27.11.2025, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Palladam, Tiruppur District, and on further conditions that:-

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which he is suspected;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



[e] the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]*.

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 BNS.

26-12-2025

AT

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of
Police
Palladam Police Station, Tiruppur
District. Crime No.1193 of 2025.

2. The Judicial Magistrate, Palladam,
Tiruppur District.

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.

4. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35496 of 2025



P.DHANABAL J.

AT/MPA

**CRL OP No. 35496 of
2025**

26-12-2025