



Crl.O.P.No.35680 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35680 of 2025

1. Madhan

S/o. Gubendran, No.101/1 T.M. Road,
Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District-635001.

2. Thimmarayan

S/o.Govindhasamy, No.3/275, Thadikaran
Kottai, Kittampattai, Agasipalli,
Kanakamutulu, Krishnagiri Taluk and
District.

No.3/275, Thadikaran Kottai,Kittampattai,
Agasipalli, Kanakamutulu,Krishnagiri
Taluk District

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State rep by its Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.
(Crime No.505 of 2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in Crime No.505 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.



Crl.O.P.No.3568 of 2025

For Petitioner(s): M.P. Saravanan
M.Abi

For Respondent(s): S.Udaya Kumar, GA

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners / Accused Nos.1 & 2, who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(2), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 326(f) of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr.No.505 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 08.12.205 at 7.30 p.m., the petitioners, after consuming alcohol, picked up a quarrel with the defacto complainant abusing him as to how he commented that the bike of the petitioner/A1 is a stolen one and offered for sale and slapped him and the petitioner/A1 took a knife and caused injuries on head and body of the defacto complainant and when the uncle of the defacto complainant tried to interfere and rescue the defacto complainant, they threatened him with dire consequences that they would stab him with the knife. Hence, based on the complaint of the defacto complainant, a case was registered against the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submit that the petitioners



have not committed the offences as alleged by the prosecution and they are the relatives of the defacto complainant and due to previous enmity, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent submits that a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners/accused and the defacto complainant and caused injuries to the defacto complainant and he was discharged from the hospital. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of offences and it is a wordy quarrel picked up by the petitioners after consuming alcohol and as no previous case was pending against the petitioners and injured was also discharged from the hospital, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.



7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



Crl.O.P.No.3568 of 2023

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under

Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

WEB COPY

30.12.2025

dn

Index:Yes/No

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

Note :

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.
2. All concerned shall act on this order being uploaded in the official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. State rep by its Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Taluk Police Station, Krishnagiri District.
2. Judicial Magistrate No.II, Krishnagiri, Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35680 of 2025

P. DHANABAL.J,

dn

Crl.O.P.No.35680 of 2025

30.12.2025