



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.35693 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35693 of 2025

1. Sasikumar
S/o. Muthukumaresan, 41A4/1, East Street,
Rajathanikottai, Nilakkottai,
Ammainaickanur, Dindigul District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
K.G.Chavadi Police Station,
Coimbatore.
Cr.No.234 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.234 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): D. Bennington

For Respondent(s): S.Udaya Kumar, GA

ORDER

The petitioner/A1, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 308(4)



and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in connection with Cr.No.234 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner/A1 and one Sruthika were in a relationship, which ended due to certain reasons, while so, the defacto complainant got acquainted with the said Sruthika through Instagram and that on 16.12.2025, the petitioner along with other accused had taken the defacto complainant from his room and abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with hands, belt, and iron rod and caused injuries and also demanded Rs.10000/- which was transferred by the defacto complainant through G.pay to the account of the 3rd accused. Hence, based on the complaint lodged by the defacto complainant, a case was registered against the petitioner and other accused.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in the case. The petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent



submits that totally five accused were involved and the petitioner is A1, who was in relationship with one Sruthika and later, they were separated and since the defacto complainant got acquittance with the said girl, the petitioner and other accused beat him and caused injuries and also extracted Rs.10,000/- from him. He would further submit that the injured/defacto complainant was discharged from the hospital and one previous case is pending against the petitioner and he has been arrayed as A1. Hence, he opposed to grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of offence and since the defacto complainant was already discharged from the hospital and the petitioner is a student, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Madhukarai, on condition that the petitioner shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to



Crl.O.P.No.3569 of 2023

the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 30 days.

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30.12.2025

dn

Index:Yes/No

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

4/6



Crl.O.P.No.3569 of 2023

Note :

- 1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.*
- 2. All concerned shall act on this order being uploaded in the official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.*

To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by,
The Inspector of Police,
K.G.Chavadi Police Station,
Coimbatore.

2.District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Madhukarai



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35693 of 2025

P. DHANABAL.J,

dn

Crl.O.P.No.35693 of 2025

30.12.2025