



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 26-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35877 of 2025

Govindhasamy

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By Its,
The Inspector of Police, Forest Range
Officer Urigam, Krishnagiri District
WLOR No.01 of 2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

The Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in WLOR No.01 of 2025 on the file of Inspector of Police, Forest Range Officer, Urigam, Krishnagiri District.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.V. Sivaraman

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Vinoth Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 21(d)(h) of the Tamil Nadu Forest Act V 1982 and Sections 2, 9, 27(1)(4), 28, 31, 32, 39, 49A(a), 50, 51A(1), 52, 55, 56, 57 of Wildlife Animals Protection Act, 1972 and Section 17,



25(i)(a) and Sub-Section 1(A)27 of the Indian Arms Act in WLOR No.1 of 2025
on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the respondent police were on patrol duty, they found the petitioner along with other accused persons in possession of a bag containing deer flesh. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the respondent police have registered a false case against the petitioner and he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.10.2025. According to the prosecution, the petitioner was found in possession of deer flesh. In fact, the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. This case has been foisted against the petitioner for statistical purpose. Therefore prayed to grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (criminal side) would submit that this case was registered as against the petitioner for possession of deer flesh and that the petitioner has no previous case of similar nature. However, he strongly opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstance of the case and submissions made by the learned counsels, nature of offence and also considering the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Learned District Munisf cum Judicial Magistrate, Denkanikottai**, and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which she is accused, or suspected, or of the commission of which she is suspected;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with



WEB COPY



the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

26-12-2025

Index:Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet:Yes
Neutral Citation:Yes/No

Jeni



Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the official website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in official website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Denkanikottai.

2.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Salem.

3.The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court,
Chennai.

4.The Inspector of Police, Forest Range Officer
Urigam, Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 35877 of 2025



P.DHANABAL J.

Jeni

CRL OP No. 35877 of 2025

26-12-2025