



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35624 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35624 of 2025

1. Muthukumar
2. Selvaraj
3. Sakthivel
4. Mani @ Manikandan

... Petitioners

Vs

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police
Virinchipuram Police Station
Vellore District
Crime No.230 of 2025

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.230 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioners who apprehend arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 331(4) and 305(a) of BNS, 2023 (Sections 457 and 380 of IPC), in connection with Crime No.230 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant who is working in TTR Trucks and Buses Private Limited, Vellore is that the petitioners along with other accused committed theft of Tyre & Tubes with disc and Excide Batter (100 AH) worth about Rs.2 lakhs. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and they has been falsely implicated in this case based on the confession of co-accused/A1. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. However, he would submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners and the stolen properties have already been recovered.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the nature of the offences and the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners and that the stolen properties have already been recovered, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.IV, Vellore,** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent-police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the



Crl.O.P.No.35624 of 2023

petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30.12.2025

ksa-2/cgi



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.IV,
Vellore

2. The Inspector of Police
Virinchipuram Police Station
Vellore District

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



CrI.O.P.No.35624 of 2023

WEB COPY



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35624 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.,

ksa-2

Crl.O.P.No.35624 of 2025

30.12.2025