



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35364 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 30.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

Crl.O.P.No.35364 of 2025

Pranav Kumar

... Petitioner/ Accused – 1

Vs

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police
J-10 Semmencherry Police Station,
Chengalpet District.
(Crime No.347/2025)

... Respondent / Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.Madhan Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner / Accused, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 296[b], 118[1], 351 [3] of BNS in connection with the Cr.No.347 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the of the prosecution is that the petitioner had abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and attacked him and caused injury. Hence, the case has been registered against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that due to previous enmity, the defacto complainant had lodged a false complaint against the petitioner and he had not committed any such offence. Hence, seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate [Criminal Side] on instructions submitted that in a wordy quarrel, the petitioner had assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injury and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that the petitioner is involved in one previous case.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of offence and the dispute between the parties and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, though the petitioner is involved in one previous case, as he was granted bail in that case, I am



inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Sholinganallur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent every Saturday at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks.

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



Crl.O.P.No.35364 of 2023

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30.12.2025

vrc



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.35364 of 2025

P.DHANABAL, J.,

vrc

Crl.O.P.No.35364 of 2025

30.12.2025