



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.35487 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 35487 of 2025

Vijaya
W/o.Sundaram,
No.1, Pillaiyar Kovil Street, Rangapuram,
Sathuvachari, Vellore District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Rathnagiri Police Station, Ranipet District.
Crime No.205 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of his arrest in Crime No.205 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Balamanikandan

For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



ORDER

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest in the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of B.N.S. in connection with the Crime No.205 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner is the owner of the Ashok Leyland Tipper Lorry bearing Registration No.TN-23-AK-7898 and it is alleged that the driver of the vehicle, on inspection, was found in possession of 6 units of mixer jelly and M-sand in the aforesaid vehicle. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that since the material objects have been seized, custodial interrogation is not required in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is innocent of the offences as alleged. Hence, he seeks anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the case of the prosecution and submitted that the sand along with the vehicle has been seized by the respondent police. He would further submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner, however, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and nature of offences and also considering the fact that the material objects were seized by the respondent police and since the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his/her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **Judicial Magistrate, Arcot**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every **Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and**



when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

[d] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]; and

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

30-12-2025

nsd

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.35487 of 2025



Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Arcot.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Rathnagiri Police Station, Ranipet District.
Crime No.205 of 2025.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No.35487 of 20



P.DHANABAL, J.

nsd

CRL OP No. 35487 of 2025

30-12-2025