



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP No. 36126 of 2025

1. A. Dilliganesh
S/o. Aiyyanar,
No.344/3, Ganapathi Nagar,
Kanakankuppam,
Villupuram District 604 151.

2. Jayakkodi
S/o. Ayyanar,
No.344/3, Ganapathi Nagar,
Kanakankuppam,
Villupuram District 604 151.

3. Panchamoorthy
S/o. Paramasivam,
No.365-3/3, West Street,
Kanakankuppam,
Villupuram District 604 151.


4. Ajith @ Ajith Kumar
S/o. Kumar,
Main Road, Malayarasankuppam,
Malavanthangal, Villupuram District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police
Kandachipuram Police Station,
Villupuram District.
Crime No.238/2025.

Respondent(s)



PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under 482 of BNSS Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent in Crime No.238 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Magesh Kumar G


For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Udaya Kumar
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in connection with Crime No.238 of 2025, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant belongs to the same locality and that there was no previous enmity between them. However, it is alleged that when the mother-in-law of the *de facto* complainant and her relative were fighting with each other, the first petitioner intervened to stop the fight, and due to wordy altercation, the first petitioner and the other petitioners allegedly joined together and attacked the *de facto* complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case, investigation is pending and the offences are grave in nature and opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the nature of the offences, and also the fact that no previous case is pending against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the Learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Villupuram**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card



or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police on every Saturday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

30-12-2025

Jd/vm

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Villupuram.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Kandachipuram Police Station,
Villupuram District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY



P.DHANABAL J.
jd/vm

CRL OP No. 36126 of 2025

30.12.2025