



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34690 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 34690 of 2025

1. Yasodha

2. Shasikala

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of
Police
K2, Ayanavaram Police Station,
Kilpauk District,
Chennai-600 010.
Crime No.175/2025

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of his arrest concerned in Crime NO.175 of 2025 (on the file of the respondent police) .

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Prakasam Jaganathan

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana, GA (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 74 of BNS Act and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in connection with the Cr. No.175 of 2025, seeks



anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to the property dispute, the petitioners have abused the defacto complainant in a filthy language, assaulted and pelted stones towards the defacto complainant's house and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent persons, and they have been falsely implicated by the respondent police, and petitioners are no way connected with the offences as alleged by the prosecution and hence he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and on instruction submitted that there is a long-standing civil dispute pending between the parties in O.S.No.6221 of 2015 before the City Civil Court, Chennai. She further submitted that it is a case and case in counter and the investigation in this case is still pending, hence, she strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides, the nature of alleged offences, and further considering that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary in a case of this nature and also the fact that petitioners are being a ladies, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Egmore** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioners shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;



WEB COPY

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

18-12-2025

gbi
To

- 1.The Inspector of Police
K-2 Ayanavaram Police Station,
Kilpauk District, Chennai.
2. The V Metropolitan Magistrate
Court, Egmore.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34690 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 34690 of
2025**

18-12-2025