



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP No. 49414 of 2025

AND

**WP NO. 49442 OF 2025, WP NO. 49430 OF 2025, WP NO. 49435 OF
2025, WP NO. 49439 OF 2025**

Sri Venkateswara Transport Service
Rep By Its Proprietor,
C.Sathishkumar,
1/3, Sannagam, Akshya Enclave,
Annamalai Nagar Annex,
Seelanaickenpatty, Salem 636 201.


Petitioner
in W.P.No.49414 of 2025

RR Trans
Rep By Its Managing Partner,
R.Nedumaran,
117/1 Cuddalore Main Road,
Near Post Office, Valapady, Salem
District 636 115.

Petitioner
in WP No.49442 of 2025

Sri Lakshmi Gas Carriers
Rep By Its Proprietor, M.Senthilkumar,
1/3, Sannagam, Akshya Enclave,
Annamalai Nagar Annex,
Seelanaickenpatty, Salem 636201.

Petitioner
in W.P.No.49430 of 2025



Sri Lakshmi Transport Service
Rep By Its Partner K.Kavitha,
1/3 Nadu Theru, Tho.Jedarpalayam,
Namagiripet-via, Rasipuram Taluk,
Namakkal District 637 406.

Petitioner(s)
in WP No. 49435 of 2025

Sri Sivamurugaan Transport
Rep By Its Managing Partner,
S.Sathish Kumar,
No.2/416, Siddhar Kovil Main Road,
Opp Ayyanarappan Kovil,
Sivadhapuram, Salem 636 307.

Petitioner
in WP No. 49439 of 2025

Vs

1. The General Manger
Central Procurement Organization (Marketing),
9th Floor, Marathan, Futurex Building, A-wing,
Mafatall Milks Compound, N.M.Joshimarg,
Mumbai 400 013.

2.The Deputy General Manager
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited,
Regional Office LPG, Petro Bhavan, 3rd Floor,
New No.82, TTK Road, Alwarpet,
Chennai 600 018.

3.The Chief Plant Manager,
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited,
Yadiyur Lpg Bottling Plant, Markanahalli Road,
Yedyur, Kunigal Taluk,
Tumkur District 572142, Karnataka.

Respondents in all W.Ps

PRAYER in all W.Ps:-Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for an issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to reconsider the rejected vehicles offered by the petitioner in



tender No. 2500022836/HD-09050 (RFQNO.5100022836) issued by the 1st respondent by considering the reply dated 06.12.2025 submitted by the petitioner through online portal before issuance of letter of acceptances in respect of the above tender.

In all W.Ps

For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Ezhilarasan

For Respondents: Mr.Mohamed Fayaz Ali
Standing Counsel

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed by the petitioners seeking to direct the respondents to reconsider the rejected vehicles offered by the petitioner in tender No. 2500022836/HD-09050 (RFQNO.5100022836) issued by the 1st respondent by considering the reply dated 06.12.2025 submitted by the petitioners through online portal before issuance of letter of acceptances in respect of the above tender.

2.Mr.Mohamed Fayaz Ali, learned Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondents. By consent of both parties, these writ petitions are taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3.Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that tender has been called for by the 1st respondent on 01.10.2025, for transportation of LPG



cylinders. Though the petitioners participated in the tender, some of their vehicles are rejected by the 3rd respondent. In response, the petitioners submitted their reply on 06.12.2025, requesting the respondents to reconsider the rejected vehicles offered by the petitioners. Since their replies were not considered, these writ petitions have been filed.

4.Learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents would submit that these writ petitions are not maintainable since the condition prescribed in Page No.26 of the E-tender dated 01.10.2025 states that the Courts in the city of controlling Regional Office alone shall have jurisdiction to entertain any application or other proceedings in respect of anything arising under this tender either before or after or during the finalization of the tender and hence, prayed to dismiss the present writ petitions.

5.Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents and perused the materials available on records.

6.Considering the submissions made by either parties, this Court is of the view that when the tender condition itself indicates that the Courts in the city of controlling Regional Office alone shall have jurisdiction to entertain any application or other proceedings in respect of anything arising under this tender,



as a matter of right the petitioner cannot challenge the rejection orders passed by the 3rd respondent before this Court.

WEB COPY

7. In view of the above, these writ petitions are not maintainable before this Court. The petitioners shall challenge the rejection orders passed by the 3rd respondent before the jurisdictional Court, if so advised. Accordingly, these writ petitions are dismissed. No costs.

17-12-2025

rst

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes

To

1. The General Manager

Central Procurement Organization (Marketing), 9th Floor,
Marathan, Futurex Building, A-wing, Mafatall Milks
Compound, N.M. Joshimarg, Mumbai 400013.

2. The Deputy General Manager

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Regional
Office LPG, Petro Bhavan, 3rd Floor, New No.82,
TTK Road, Alwarpet, Chennai 600 018.

3. The Chief Plant Manager

Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited, Yadiyur LPG
Bottling Plant, Markanahalli Road, Yedyur,
Kunigal Taluk, Tumkur District 572142, Karnataka.



WEB COPY

WP No.49414 of 2025 etc batch



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

rst

WP No. 49414 of 2025
AND
WP NO. 49442 OF 2025,
WP NO. 49430 OF 2025,
WP NO. 49435 OF 2025,
WP NO. 49439 OF 2025

17-12-2025