



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.34535 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

**Crl.O.P.No.34535 of 2025**

1. Meiyarasu @ Meiazhagan  
2. Kathiresan

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State rep by  
Sub Inspector of Police,  
Tiruppur North Police Station,  
Tiruppur City.

... Respondent

**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of  
their arrest in Crime No.1295 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.


For Petitioners : Mr.M.Vijay

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under  
Sections 308(4) and 3(5) of BNS in Crime No.1295 of 2025, on the file of  
the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners, in  
connivance with other accused, threatened the defacto complainant on the  
ground that he had not paid money towards the legal expenses and for bail



out the accused. It is further alleged that thereafter A1, compelled to force him to transfer a sum of Rs.10,000/- to the account of his relative, which led to the registration of the FIR. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and that they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused in the same crime were enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 20.11.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.31749 of 2025. Therefore, he prayed that anticipatory bail be granted to the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that the name of the first petitioner has been mentioned as ***“Meiyarasu” in the petition, whereas the petitioner is also known by another name, “Meiazhagan”, and the same is recorded by this Court.***

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioners herein are arrayed as A3 and A6 in this case and that no amount has been recovered so far and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



7. Considering the nature of the allegations, the fact that the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail, and that there are no adverse antecedents against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned ***Judicial Magistrate-I, Tiruppur*** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of**



**two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

**17.12.2025**

Vv

To

1. The ***Judicial Magistrate-I, Tiruppur***
2. The Sub Inspector of Police,  
Tiruppur North Police Station,  
Tiruppur City.
3. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court of Madras,  
Chennai 600 104.

**K.RAJASEKAR, J.**



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.34535 of 2025

Vv

**Crl.O.P.No.34535 of 2025**

**17.12.2025**