



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.34549 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.34549 of 2025

1. Venkateshwaran
2. Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

State by
The Inspector of Police,
Kottur Police Station,
Coimbatore.
(Cr.No.350 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of
their arrest in Crime No.350 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.J.Anitha

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under
Sections 191(2), 191(3), 132, 224, 287, 351(2) of BNS in Crime No.350 of
2025, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioner joining hands with other accused, entered the office of the Executive Officer, Kotturpuram Panchayat and demanded immediate action against the illegal construction of a godown. It is further alleged that they have threatened the officials that if prompt action was not taken, they would pour petrol on himself, but the officials intervened and averted the incident and also complaint has been lodged. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocents, they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the major allegations are only levelled against A1 in this case and that the role of the petitioner in this case is that he only participated in the quarrel and he is not having any previous case. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions submitted that reported that there are no previous cases as against the petitioners and no one arrested in this case. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by both sides , the nature of allegations and also the fact the no previous bad antecedents registered against the petitioners, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions. .

7. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned ***Judicial Magistrate-II, Pollachi*** on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute separate bonds for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;



Crl.OP.No.34549 of 2025

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 05.00p.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

17.12.2025

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Pollachi
2. The Inspector of Police,
Kottur Police Station,
Coimbatore.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.34549 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.34549 of 2025

17.12.2025