



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34521 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 34521 of 2025

1. S.Nandish
2. S.Rajesh
3. S.Santhi
4. S.Venkateshan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

State Rep. By The Inspector of Police,
Virudhampet Police Station, Vellore
District. Crime No. 16 of 2023

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the Petitioners on anticipatory bail, in the event of the Petitioners arrest by the Respondent Police in the case pending investigation in Crime No. 16 of 2023, dated 31.01.2023 on the file of the Respondent police .

For Petitioner(s): R.SivaKumar

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana, GA (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, 498(A), 506(i) of IPC read with Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act-2002 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act 1961 in connection with the Cr. No.16 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the daughter of the defacto complainant was married to the first petitioner. Following the marriage, the petitioners demanded 20 sovereigns of gold and 2,00,000/- in cash as dowry from the defacto complainant's daughter, and subjected her to persistent harassment. When the defacto complainant intervened to resolve the matter, the petitioner verbally abused both the defacto complainant and his daughter and forcibly expelled them from the residence and threatened with dire consequences. Hence, the case.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are an innocent persons, and they have been falsely implicated by the respondent police and they are no way connected with the offences as alleged by the prosecution and hence he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution's case and on instructions submitted that it is a case and case in counter and investigation in this case is still pending. Hence, she strongly objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the representation made by both side counsel, nature of offence, the injured has been discharged from hospital and that no previous cases are pending against petitioner, and also considering all other factors, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.III, Vellore**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;

[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission



of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned

Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

17-12-2025

gbi
To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Virudhampet Police Station, Vellore
District. Crime No. 16 of 2023
2. The Judicial Magistrate No.III,
Vellore.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34521 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

gbi

**CRL OP No. 34521 of
2025**

17-12-2025