



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.34218 of 2025

Suganya

Petitioner

Vs

The State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
R-3, Ashok Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.399 of 2025.

Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner in Crime No.399 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.M.Velmurugan

For Respondent:

Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody

Page 1 of 6



on 30.10.2025 for the alleged offence punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS, in Crime No.399 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that she is the wife of A1 in this case. It is suspected that the petitioner was working under the deceased and was acting against the interest of A1. It is further alleged that she accompanied A3 and conspired to inform A1 about the location of the deceased, pursuant to which A1 came to Chennai and repeatedly stabbed the deceased, causing his death. Hence, a complaint was lodged and the petitioner was arrested for the said offences.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and has been falsely implicated purely on suspicion. He further submitted that the petitioner was not aware of the intention of A1 and that during the occurrence, she attempted to prevent A1 from attacking the deceased. Hence, he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner was aware of the intention of A1 and had shared the location of



the deceased with A1. Acting on the said information, A1 came to the spot and attacked the deceased. He further submitted that the petitioner is not having any previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. Considered the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and on perusal of the FIR and other connected materials, it reveals that the petitioner being a lady, it is alleged that she shared the location of the deceased with A1 and she has not attacked the deceased, considering the period of incarceration and that the petitioner is not having any previous case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned Metropolitan Magistrate No.XVII, Saidapet, Chennai*, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to



ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the concerned Court daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

15.12.2025

drl



WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Metropolitan Magistrate No.XVII,
Saidapet, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,
R-3, Ashok Nagar Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison for women, Puzhal.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.,

drl

CRL OP NO.34218 of 2025

15.12.2025

Page 6 of 6