



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.34539 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.34539 of 2025

G. Venkadesan

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kandhili Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
(Crime No.312 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioner/accused in
Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. R. Ganesh Kumar

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.34539 of 2025

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 26.11.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 326(a) r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 in Crime No.312 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 17.11.2025, while the respondent police were on their routine patrol duty, they intercepted a Tipper lorry bearing Registration No.TN-55-R-8686 and found that the accused/ A2 had illegally transported 2 units of river sand in the said lorry without any valid permit; that based on the confession of the arrested accused, the petitioner herein was arrayed as an accused and subsequently he was arrested. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in judicial custody since 26.11.2025; that the co-accused/ A2 was already released on bail by this Court, vide order dated 26.11.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.32386 of 2025; that the petitioner herein is the owner of the said lorry and he was not aware about the illegal transportation of river sand; and that the petitioner



is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court, hence prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally two accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1; that the petitioner herein has four previous cases of similar in nature; and that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, gravity of offence, the previous antecedents of the petitioner, taking note of the fact that the investigation of this case is pending and if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he would indulge in similar offence, hence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

17.12.2025

stn



WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kandhili Police Station,
Tirupattur District.
(Crime No.312 of 2025)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



Crl.O.P.No.34539 of 2025

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

CRL OP NO.34539 of 2025

17.12.2025