



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.34269 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.34269 of 2025

Vairamuthu

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Valathy Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.218 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to grant bail to the petitioner/accused in
Crime No.218 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. G. Saravanabhavan

For Respondent(s) : Mr. A. Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 29.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 140(2), 308(4) of BNS in Crime No.218 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.10.2025, the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the defacto complainant's house, robbed a sum of Rs.4 lakhs at knife point and kidnapped the defacto complainant in a car, abused him in filthy language, assaulted him and demanded a sum of Rs.3 Crores; that while the car of the accused proceeded with an accident, the police party followed the accused car on suspicion; that on seeing the police, the accused escaped with the amount and left the defacto complainant in the car. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in judicial custody since 29.10.2025; that the petitioner is a college student and he is only engaged as a part-time car driver and he was not aware about the ill-intention of other accused; that the co-accused was already granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 01.12.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.32862 of 2025; and that the petitioner is ready



to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court, hence prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally sixteen accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A3; that it is the case of abduction for ransom and the petitioner herein had actively participated in the aforesaid offence; and that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. I have considered the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record. Though it is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner, that the co-accused was granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 01.12.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.32862 of 2025, the same is not applicable to the case of the petitioner herein, since the petitioner therein is a cab driver, who was engaged by the co-accused and he was not aware about the purpose for which the vehicle was engaged and only on the way, he came to know about the intention of the other accused, whereas the petitioner herein is alleged to have actively participated in the offence along with other accused.

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn



6. Considering the above facts, grave nature of offence and taking note of the fact that the investigation of this case is pending and if the petitioner herein is enlarged on bail, there is possibility of hampering the investigation process, hence this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

16.12.2025

stn

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Valathy Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.218 of 2025)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP NO.34269 of 2025