



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17.12.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.34488 of 2025

M.G.Ramachandhiran

... Petitioner

-Vs-

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Banavaram Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.179 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, 2023, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.179 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.


For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sathishkumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner herein, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.06.2025 for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 103(1), 61(2) and 49 of BNS in Crime No.179 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, the deceased Balakrishnan, who is the husband of the defacto complainant had developed an illegal intimacy with



the wife of A1; that thereby there arose a matrimonial dispute between the
and his wife; that thereafter, A1 got enraged and conspired with other accused,
paid a sum of Rs.20 lakhs to the petitioner herein, who is alleged to be a gang
leader to murder the said Balakrishnan; that thereby, the petitioner along with
other accused had assaulted and committed murder of the deceased. Hence, this
case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in judicial custody
since 27.06.2025; that the petitioner was earlier detained under Act 14 of 1982
on 24.07.2025 and subsequently, the detention order was quashed; that the
investigation of this case was completed and final report also filed in PRC.
No.47 of 2025; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition that
may be imposed by this Court and sought for bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the
respondent while opposing the bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution
case and submitted that there are totally seven accused involved in this case and
the petitioner is arrayed as A2; that the specific overtact of the petitioner herein
is that he was the leader of the gang hired for murdering the deceased for
money; that the petitioner also involved in two other murder cases.



5. Earlier, this Court dismissed the bail petition filed by the petitioner
Crl.OP.No.29743 of 2025 dated 31.10.2025 after considering the case on merits.

6. Now, the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that considering the period of his incarceration, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner. However, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender and is involved in multiple criminal cases, including two murder cases, one case under the NDPS Act, and another case for the offence of attempt murder.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner is having bad antecedents, since there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

17.12.2025

drl

To

1 The Inspector of Police,
Banavaram Police Station,
Ranipet District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

K. RAJASEKA



drl

CrI.O.P.No.34488 of 2025

17.12.2025