



WEB COPY



W.P.No.48168 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 16.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

W.P.No.48168 of 2025
and W.M.P.No.53827 of 2025

A.Venkata Subramanian

... Petitioner

Vs

1. State of Tamil Nadu,
Through Principal Secretary of HR & CE,
Tamil Nadu State Government,
Secretariat, Fort St.George,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 009.
2. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Tamil Nadu State Government,
119, Uthamar Gandhi Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 034.
3. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Commercial Tax Building,
Ground Floor Near RTO Office,
Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu – 641 018.
4. The Executive Officer,
A/m.Subramaniya Swamy Temple,
Pollachi, Coimbatore District,
Tamil Nadu – 641 018.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for records of the impugned order passed by the third respondent dated 11.08.2023 vide his Proceedings bearing Na.Ka.No.3783/2020/aa1 and quash the same and



W.P.No.48168 of 2025

consequently direct the third respondent to execute the previously passed order dated 22.05.2023 bearing Sel.Mu.Na.Ka.No.3783/2020/AA 1.

WEB COPY

Petitioner : Mr.Suriya Kannan Sabanayagam

For R1 to R3 : Mr.N.R.R.Arun Natarajan
Special Government Pleader

For R4 : Mr.R.Sanjay

ORDER

The writ petition is filed calling for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the third respondent dated 11.08.2023, to quash the same and consequently to direct the third respondent to execute the earlier order dated 22.05.2023.

2. Upon hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and upon perusing the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and the material records of the case, it can be seen that the contention of the petitioner is that the petitioner and his family alone are the Hereditary Trustees. While an earlier order was passed appointing the petitioner as a Hereditary Trustee, now merely by siding with the petitioner's brother and the other Hereditary Trustees, the petitioner is sought to be removed from the hereditary trusteeship.

3.Per contra, *Mr.N.R.R.Arun Natarajan*, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3 would submit that the



W.P.No.48168 of 2025

impugned order is nothing but a charge memo. He would submit that if the petitioner gives an explanation, the same will be considered in accordance with law.

4. In reply thereto, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been totally removed from the trusteeship itself, which fact is denied by the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 1 to 3.

5. It can be seen that the impugned order reads as if it is only a charge memo and therefore, it is open to the petitioner to give his explanation and the disciplinary proceedings shall be concluded as expeditiously as possible in the manner known to law. The apprehension expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioner as if the petitioner has already been removed from the trusteeship is unfounded.

6. With the above observations and directions, the writ petition stands *disposed of*. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

16.12.2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
nsl

3/5



W.P.No.48168 of 2025

To

1. The Principal Secretary of HR & CE,
Tamil Nadu State Government,
Secretariat, Fort St.George,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 009.
2. The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Tamil Nadu State Government,
119, Uthamar Gandhi Road,
Chennai, Tamil Nadu – 600 034.
3. The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowments,
Commercial Tax Building,
Ground Floor Near RTO Office,
Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu – 641 018.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.48168 of 2025

D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

ns1

W.P.No. 48168 of 2025

16.12.2025