



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No. 33484 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.12.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No. 33484 of 2025

1.Saravana Kumar

2.Sakthivel

... Petitioners

-Vs-

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Anamalai Police Station
Coimbatore.
Crime No. 621 of 2025

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS Act, 2023,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.
621 of 2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.C.Paul Kanagaraj

For Mr.M.Soundar Vijay Arulram

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 621 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the de-facto complainant had purchased an Innova Car bearing Registration No.TN-37-BQ-9397 for a sum of Rs.9,40,000/- in his name. Subsequently, the petitioners joined hands with other accused had approached the de-facto complainant under the pretext of selling the car, and the petitioners paid Rs.50,000/- as an advance and taken the car. However, they have not paid the balance amount. At a later point of time, the de-facto complainant came to know that the petitioners had sold the car to various persons, and it was finally reached the hands of one Muhammad Daudbhik. and the car was seized by the respondent police. Hence the case.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have paid the entire sale consideration to the de-facto complainant and taken the car. He further submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case due to the dispute between the parties for fixing the sale consideration. However, the petitioners are ready to abide any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the de-facto complainant had been cheated by the petitioners herein, and the second petitioner is also having one previous case of similar in nature. He further submitted that the car had been seized by the respondent police and the investigation is pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

6. Considering the nature of allegations levelled against the first petitioner; that the first petitioner has no previous case and it is alleged that the car was handed over to the de-facto complainant as early as in the month of January, 2025, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner - Saravana Kumar and this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed insofar as the second petitioner - Sakthivel is concerned.

7. Accordingly, the first petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial**



Magistrate No.I, Pollachi, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the first petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the first petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the first petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

15.12.2025

MSM
To
The Inspector of Police,
Anamalai Police Station
Coimbatore.
Crime No. 621 of 2025

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No. 33484 o



K. RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

Crl.O.P.No. 33484 of 2025

15.12.2025