



WEB COPY

WP No. 48274 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

W.P.No. 48274 of 2025

and

WMP No.53943 of 2025

T. Manogharan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
O/o.The District Collector,
Erode District

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer(RDO)
O/o.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Erode District

3.The Tahsildar
O/o.The Tahsildar,
Kodumudi Taluk Office,
Kodumudi Taluk, Erode District

4.The Inspector Police,
Kodumudi Police Station,
Erode District

5.K.Ravi

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a writ of Mandamus to direct the 2nd respondent to consider petitioner's representation dated 11.07.2025 and to take necessary action in the petitioner



representation dated 15.05.2025 which was forwarded to him from the chief minister special cell petition No.TN/REV/ERD/I/PORTAL/15 MAY 2025/11660551 within the time period stipulated by this Honble court

For Petitioner(s): Mr. S.Vinoth Kumar

For Respondent: Mr. V. Jeevagiridharan,
AGP,
for R1 to R3

Mr. L.Baskaran,
Gov. Advocate(crl.side)
for R4

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking a direction to the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation.

2. The learned Government Advocate (criminal Side) appearing for the fourth respondent would submit that a long standing dispute between two groups led to a murder in the year 2012 and resulted in serious law and order problems. It is further submitted that case and counter case were registered against both groups. In such circumstances, merely directing consideration of the petitioner's representation would not serve any useful purpose.

3. I have heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on records carefully.



4. As rightly contended by the learned Government Advocate appearing for the fourth respondent, the dispute between the two groups culminated in a murder, and criminal cases are pending against both sides. In view of the prevailing circumstances, issuing a direction to the authorities to merely consider the petitioner's representation would be futile.

5. If the petitioner claims any right to perform the temple festival, it is for them to establish such right in the manner known to law before the appropriate forum.

6. Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

17-12-2025

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes

mrp

3 of 5



To

1.The District Collector,
O/o.The District Collector,
Erode District

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer(RDO),
O/o.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Erode District

3.The Tahsildar,
O/o.The Tahsildar, Kodumudi Taluk
Office, Kodumudi Taluk, Erode District

4.The Inspector Police,
Kodumudi Police Station, Erode District



WEB COPY

WP No. 48274 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

mrp

WP No. 48274 of 2025

17-12-2025