



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE N. SATHISH KUMAR

WP No. 47699 of 2025

S. Tamilselvan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Commissioner
Department Of Food, Civil Supplies
And Consumer Protection, Ezhilagam,
Chepauk, Chennai-05

2.The District Collector
District Collectorate, Salem
District-636 001.

3.The Distirct Supply Officer,
District Collectorate, Salem
District-636 001.

4.KARTHIKEYAN

5.JAYABHARATHI

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, directing the 1st and 3rd respondents to consider the petitioners representations / complaints dated 01.09.2025 and 06.10.2025 within a time stipulated by this Honourable court by removing the name of the 5th respondent which was added without consent and legal documents and to restore the petitioners actual mobile number in the petitioners family card No.10G0272197 and consequently take necessary legal action against the 4th respondent who had his hands in the illegal addition of the name of 5th respondent in the petitioners Family card.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.L.Ramanathan

For Respondent: Mr.V.Jeevagiridharan
for R1 to R3
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

By consent of both parties, this writ petition has been taken up for final



disposal at the admission stage itself.

WEB COPY

2. The petitioner seeks for a direction to consider the representation to remove his wife/fifth respondent name in the ration card. The petitioner has already filed a divorce petition in OP.No.40/2024 on the file of Sub Judge, Attur. It is the grievance of the petitioner that while the matter stood thus, his wife name has been wrongly included in the ration card, hence, seeks for a direction.

3. Heard both sides and perused the materials placed on record. Since no adverse order is passed against the private respondents, notice to the private respondents is dispensed with.

4. At the outset, this Court is of the view that the very writ petition is not maintainable and is nothing but of abuse of process of law. Admittedly, now, the status of the parties remain as husband and wife, the marriage is not dissolved. Therefore, merely because the wife name is included in the ration card, it cannot be said that the same should be removed at this stage. As long as the marriage is not dissolved by a decree of divorce, the petitioner cannot seek to remove the name of her wife in the ration card.

5. Accordingly, this Court does not find any merits in this petition and this



petition stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous
petition stands closed.

WEB COPY

15-12-2025

dhk

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



To

1.The Commissioner
Department Of Food, Civil Supplies
And Consumer Protection, Ezhilagam,
Chepauk, Chennai-05

2.The District Collector
District Collectorate, Salem
District-636 001.

3.The Distirct Supply Officer,
District Collectorate, Salem
District-636 001.



WEB COPY

WP No. 47699 of 2025



N.SATHISH KUMAR J.

dhk

WP No. 47699 of 2025

15-12-2025