



Crl.O.P.No.33022 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated: 02.12.2025

Coram:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.33022 of 2025

Nagu @ Nagaraj

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu, represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Vellore North L & O Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.304 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.304 of 2025 on the file of the respondent-Police.

For petitioner : M/s.M.R.Thangavel

For respondent: M/s.A.Gopinath, Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.10.2025 for the offences punishable under Section 303(2), 326 of BNS, 2023 [Corresponding to Sections 379, 430 of IPC] in Crime No. 304 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused quarried and transported 1/4 unit of river sand using bullock cart without any valid licence from the Government. Based on the complaint, the petitioner was arrested on 09.10.2025.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submits that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody from 09.10.2025. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition imposed by this Court and he prayed to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the proclamation case and submits that totally two accused involved in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is having 29 previous case, out of which, 17 cases are similar in nature, one case u/s.302 of IPC and one robbery case u/s.399 of IPC. Therefore, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.No.3302 of 2025

5. I have also gone through the FIR and other connected records which reveals that the petitioner is a habitual offender and if bail is granted to the petitioner, he would indulge in similar offences in future and hence, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

02.12.2025

msm

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Vellore North L & O Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.304 of 2025)
2. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.
3. The Judicial Magistrate-IV, Vellore.
4. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Vellore.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.33022 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J

msm

Crl.O.P.No.33022 of 2025

02.12.2025

Page No.4/4