



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.33177 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 15.12.2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.33177 of 2025

1.Lakshmi Narasimma
2.Sriram

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
(Cr.No.634 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Cr.No.634 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.Parthasarathi

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.11.2025 for the alleged offence under Sections 8(c) r/w.22(b), 25, 29(1) of NDPS Act in Crime No.634 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are ranked as A3 and A4 in this case. On 08.11.2025 A2 to A4 while traveling in a Maruti Swift Dezire car, they were intercepted at about 18.15 hours and after complying the mandatory provisions, 2 grams of Methamphetamine was recovered from A2 and he along with A3 and A4 were arrested. Based on the statement recorded from A2, it is revealed that they used to purchase all the contraband from A1 in this case and A1 was also arrested and from him OG ganja -190 grams , Methamphetamine-43.5 grams, MDMA tablet-5 numbers (2.3 grams), Hash-18 grams, LSD Stamp -5 numbers (0.10 mg) & cash of Rs.8,400/- was seized and based on the statement recorded, other accused were also arrested in this case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are ranked as A3 and A4, no recovery was effected from them even though it is alleged they travelled along with A2 at the time of occurrence and from A2 also, the recovery effected is only 2 grams which is not a commercial quantity. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioners.



4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that A1 in this case is the main supplier and from him, huge quantity of contraband were recovered and though it is a intermediate quantity, several types of narcotic substances were recovered and the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

5. I have considered the submissions of both sides and also perused the records. On perusal of the records, it is revealed that the petitioners used to purchase the alleged contraband and sell it to various persons and further in this case, total quantity seized is not a commercial quantity and the petitioner is not having any previous case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the *learned XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore*, and on further conditions that:-

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and



WEB COPY

Crl.OP.No.33177 of 2025



Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself, as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560];



[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

15.12.2025

mpa

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The XIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Nungambakkam Police Station,
Chennai.
3. The Officer Incharge, Sub Jail, Saidapet.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

5/6



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.33177 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

mpa

Crl.O.P.No.33177 of 2025

15.12.2025