



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33571 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-12-2025

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 33571 of 2025

1. Sivakumar
No. 3/43-1, Neruppukuttai
Village, Thottadimmanahalli Post,
Denkanikottai Taluk, Krishnagiri
District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By The Inspector Of
Police
Mathigiri Police Station, Krishnagiri
District. (Crime No.04 of 2023)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to
enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of the arrest in Crime No.04 of 2024

For Petitioner(s): M P Saravanan

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections
316(4), 344, 61(2) of BNS Act 2023 in Crime No.466 of 2025, on the file of the



respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2.This Court has dismissed the earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioner twice on the following reasons:

“5. This Court while dismissing the first anticipatory bail petition passed the following orders:

“4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police opposed for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner by stating that the petitioner along with other accused persons cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.9,00,000/- by way of conducting chit. He also further submitted that the petitioner has also involved in collecting money from the defacto complainant and from other subscribers and thereby collected a huge sum of money nearly about Rs.74,00,000/- from 50 subscribers, hence submitted a detailed custodial investigation is needed in this case.

5. Considering the submissions made on both sides, perusal of records, facts and circumstances of the case and also seeing the conduct of the petitioner, who colluded with other accused persons and collected huge money from many persons by way of chit, a detailed investigation is needed, hence this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.”

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner herein is willing to repay the cheated money. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent police



reported that the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, she opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. This Court is of the view that there is no change in circumstances and hence, is not inclined to entertain this anticipatory bail petition.

7. Hence, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

09-12-2025

gbi

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



CRL OP No. 33571 of 2025



To

WEB COPY

- 1.The State Rep By The Inspector Of
Police
Mathigiri Police Station, Krishnagiri
District. (Crime No.04 of 2023)
- 2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33571 of 2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
gbi

**CRL OP No. 33571 of
2025**

09-12-2025