



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32850 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01.12.2025

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.32850 of 2025

M.Guruvalakshmi

... Petitioner

-Vs-

State Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
(Crime No.1166 of 2025)

... Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS Act, 2023,
pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.1166 of 2025 pending on
the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Rajkumar

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



Crl.O.P.No.32850 o



17.11.2025, for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 275, 123 BNS r/w

Sections 7(1), 20(2) of COTP Act, in Crime No.1166 of 2025, on the file of the

respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused was found in possession of 104 kgs of banned tobacco products.

Hence, the complaint was lodged and the petitioner was arrested and is in judicial custody.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that though the total quantity involved is 104 kgs of banned tobacco products, only 11.5 kgs is alleged to have been recovered from the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 17.11.2025. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that 11.5 kgs of banned tobacco products was recovered from the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner joined hands with other accused was involved in illegal possession, transportation and sale of banned tobacco products at various places. He further submitted that the petitioner has two previous cases, similar in nature, pending against him. However, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record including the First Information Report.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, the fact that the petitioner is involved in huge quantity of contraband and the fact that the petitioner has previous cases this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

01.12.2025

drl

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Palladam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32850 o



K. RAJASEKAR, J.

drl



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.32850 o



Crl.O.P.No.32850 of 2025

01.12.2025