



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.12.2025

CORAM :
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **K.RAJASEKAR**

Crl.O.P.No.33647 of 2025

Vimal Abishek Gana Shyam

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
C1-Flower Bazaar Police Station,
Chennai.
(Crime No.249 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: To enlarge the petitioner on Bail in Crime No.249 of 2025 on the file of respondent police and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case thus render justice.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Vasanth
For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 04.10.2025 for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 204, 319(2) in Crime No. 249 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The earlier bail application of the petitioner was dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 19.11.2025 in CrI.O.P.No.31623 of 2025, on the following grounds:

“6. I have also gone through the records and connected FIR. This petitioner posing himself as a police party intercepted the de-facto complainant and snatched a bag containing cash of Rs.55 lakh. Further, during the course of investigation, a sum of Rs.1 lakh only recovered from this petitioner and that the investigation is pending.

7.Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned Counsel on either side, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.”

3.The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that some of the co-accused were arrested and released on bail in CrI.M.P.No.10725 of 2025, dated 06.11.2025 and CrI.M.P.No. 11647 of 2025, dated 06.12.2025 on the file of Principal Sessions Judge, Chennai.

4. By an earlier order in CrI.O.P.No.31623 of 2025, dated 19.11.2025, the bail application of the petitioner herein was dismissed, and while granting bail to another co-accused the learned Sessions Judge vide order dated 06.12.2025, was not brought to the knowledge about the dismissal order passed by this Court dated 19.11.2025. Hence, I am of the view that the Public Prosecutor has properly submitted the orders passed by this Court before the Sessions Court, Chennai.



Further, in this case, a huge amount has been involved, and so far, only Rs.7,00,000/-
has been recovered.

WEB COPY

5. Considering the fact that there is no change in circumstances in this
petition, and this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. dismissed.

09.12.2025

MSM

To

1. The Inspector Of Police, C1-Flower Bazaar Police Station,
Chennai.
- 2.The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CRL OP No.33647

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

MSM

CRL OP No. 33647 of 2025

09.12.2025