



CrI.O.P.No.32867 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.12.2025

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.32867 of 2025

P.Vijay Krishna

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. State by,
The Inspector of Police,
T-12, SRMC Police Station,
Chennai.

(Crime No.543 of 2025)

2. Mahalakshmi

... Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita/Section 482 Cr.P.C., to call for the records culminated in the impugned First Information Report in Crime No.543 of 2025 dated 04.11.2025 on the file of the first respondent Police and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Himavanth

For R1 : Mr.S.Santhosh
Government Advocate (Criminal Side)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.543 of 2025, pending on the file of the first respondent Police, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, a case in Crime No.543 of 2025 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioner, for the offences under Sections 296(b) and 351(2) of the BNS and Section 4 of the TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused submitted that on the advice of elders and the family members, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, he seeks to quash the First Information Report pending on file of the first respondent Police against the petitioner. Affidavits and a Joint Compromise Memo to that effect have also been filed.



5. The petitioner and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as by Ms.P.Gowri, S.I., T-12, SRMC Police Station.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that she has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioner and she is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offence, has to consider the issue as to whether an offence of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioner. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat***, reported in ***(2017) 9 SCC 641***, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its




Cr.L.O.P.No.32867 of 2025

jurisdiction under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioner and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the proceedings pending against the petitioner in First Information Report in Crime No.543 of 2025, on the file of the first respondent Police, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the First Information Report in Crime No.543 of 2025, pending on the file of the first respondent Police, is quashed as against the petitioner.



02.12.2025

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

1. The Inspector of Police,
T-12, SRMC Police Station,
Chennai.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.32867 of 2025

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

ham

CrI.O.P.No.32867 of 2025

02.12.2025